ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN -- SOUTHERN DIVISION

MICHELLE FRANCO,

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: Tarnow, Arthur J.

DECK : S. Division Civil Deck : 12/21/2005 @ 11:58:49

CASE NUMBER : 2:05CV74829

IFP V. FRANCO V. BIRD ET AL (DA)

-VS-

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

ROBERT W. BIRD, and RYAN & REED, INC.,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE KOMIVE:

Defendants.

Adam G. Taub (P48703) Lyngklip & Taub Consumer Law Group, PLC Attorncy For Michelle Franco 24500 Northwestern Highway, Stc. 206 Southfield, MI 48075 (248) 746-3790

COMPLAINT & JURY DEMAND

JURISDICTION

- 1. This court has jurisdiction under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. §§1331,1337.
- 2. This court may exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the related state law claims arising out of the same nucleus of operative facts which give rise to the Federal law claims.

PARTIES

3. The Plaintiff to this lawsuit is Michelle Franco who resides in Port Huron, Michigan.

- 4. The Defendants to this lawsuit are as follows:
 - a. Robert W. Bird ("Robert W. Bird"), an attorney doing business in Michigan at 1211 State Road 436, Suite 111, Casselberry, FL 32707, and who may be served with process at 1211 State Road 436, Suite 111, Casselberry, FL 32707 under Florida state law.
 - Bering Dr. Suite 300, Houston, TX 77057, and whose resident agent, National Registered Agents, Inc. maintains its office at 1614 Sidney Baker Street, Kerrville, TX 78026.

VENUE

- 5. The transactions and occurrences which give rise to this action occurred in St. Clair County.
- 6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Michigan.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Some time prior to August 5, 2005, Ryan & Reed was engaged by Blue Water College of Cosmetology to collect a debt allegedly owed by Michelle Franco.
- 8. Some time prior to August 5, 2005, Robert W. Bird was engaged by Ryan & Reed, Inc. to collect a debt allegedly owed by Michelle Franco.
- 9. Prior to August 5, 2005, Ryan & Reed communicated with Michelle Franco for the first time.
- On or about August 5, 2005, Robert W. Bird communicated with Michelle Franco for the first time.
- 11. As set forth herein, these Defendants violated state and federal collection practices laws.

COUNT 1 - Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (Robert W. Bird)

- 12. Ms. Franco incorporates the preceding allegations by reference.
- 13. At all relevant times Robert W. Bird - in the ordinary course of its business - regularly engaged in the practice of collecting debts on behalf of other individuals or entities.
- 14. Robert W. Bird is a "debt collector" under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6),
- 15. Robert W. Bird has engaged in violations of the FDCPA including, but not limited to the following:
 - Robert W. Bird used generally false, misleading or unfair methods to collect the a. debt., in violation of the general prohibitions in 15 U.S.C. §1692c.
 - b. Robert W. Bird made a false representation of-- (A) the character, amount, or legal status of the debt; or (B) any services rendered or compensation which may be lawfully received by Robert W. Bird for the collection of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(2).
 - Robert W. Bird made false representations in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692c(3). c.
 - The representation or implication that nonpayment of any debt will result in the arrest d. or imprisonment of any person or the scizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of any property or wages of any person unless such action is lawful and the debt collector or creditor intends to take such action, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(4).
 - e. The threat to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(5).

- f. Robert W. Bird used false representations or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect the debt or to obtain information concerning Ms. Franco, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(10),
- Robert W. Bird used unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect g. the debt, in violation of the general prohibition in 15 U.S.C. 1692(f).
- h. Robert W. Bird collected an amount without express authorization under the agreement creating the debt or other statutory authority in violation of 15 U.S.C. 1692(f)(1),
- Ms. Franco has suffered damages as a result of Robert W. Bird's violations of the FDCPA. 16. COUNT II - Michigan Debt Collection Practices Act (Robert W. Bird)
- 17. Ms. Franco incorporates the preceding allegations by reference.
- 18. Robert W. Bitd is a "regulated person" under the Michigan Debt Collection Practices Act ("MDCPA"), M.C.L. § 445.251(g)(xi).
- 19. Robert W. Bird violated the Michigan Collection Practices Act, M.C.L. § 445.251 et seq. by:
 - a. Communicating with a debtor in a misleading or deceptive manner in violation of M.C.L. §445.252(a).
 - Making an inaccurate, misleading, untrue, or deceptive statement or claim in a b. communication to collect a debt in violation of M.C.L. §445.252(e).
 - c. Misrepresenting in a communication with a debtor one or more of the following in violation of M.C.L. §445.252(f).:
 - i. The legal status of a legal action being taken or threatened.
 - ii. The legal rights of the creditor or debtor.

- iii. That the nonpayment of a debt will result in the debtor's arrest or imprisonment, or the seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of the debtor's property.
- iv. That accounts have been turned over to innocent purchasers for value.
- Failing to implement a procedure designed to prevent a violation by an employee in violation of M.C.L. §445.252(q).
- e. Employing a person required to be licensed under article 9 of Act No. 299 of the Public Acts of 1980, being sections 339.901 to 339.916 of the Michigan Compiled Laws, to collect a claim unless that person is licensed under article 9 of Act No. 299 of the Public Acts of 1980 in violation of M.C.L. §445.252(s).
- 20. Ms. Franco has suffered damages as a result of Robert W. Bird's violations of the Michigan Collection Practices Act.

COUNT III - Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (Ryan & Reed)

- 21. Ms. Franco incorporates the preceding allegations by reference.
- 22. At all relevant times Ryan & Reed in the ordinary course of its business regularly engaged in the practice of collecting debts on behalf of other individuals or entities.
- 23. Ryan & Reed is a "debt collector" under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"),15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 24. Ryan & Reed has engaged in violations of the FDCPA including, but not limited to the following:
 - a. Communicating with any person other than Ms.Franco for the purpose of acquiring location information about Ms.Franco, Ryan & Reed stated that Ms.Franco owed a

- debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692b(2).
- b. Ryan & Reed without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to Ryan & Reed or the express permission of a court of competent jurisdiction Ryan & Reed communicated with Ms.Franco in connection with the collection of a debt at unusual or inconvenient, times or places in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692c(a)(1).
- c. Ryan & Reed used obscene or profane language or language the natural consequence of which is to abuse the hearer or reader, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692d(2).
- d. Ryan & Reed caused Ms.Franco's telephone to ring or engaged any Ms.Franco telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass her, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692d(5).
- e. Ryan & Reed, through its agents, placed telephone calls without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692d(6).
- f. Ryan & Reed used generally false, misleading or unfair methods to collect the debt.,
 in violation of the general prohibitions in 15 U.S.C. §1692e.
- g. Ryan & Reed made a false representation of— (A) the character, amount, or legal status of the debt; or (B) any services rendered or compensation which may be lawfully received by Ryan & Reed for the collection of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(2).
- Ryan & Reed made false representations or implied that an individual was an attorney or that any communication is from an attorney, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(3).
- i. The representation or implication that nonpayment of any debt will result in the arrest

- j. Ryan & Reed threatened to take action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(5).
- k. Ryan & Reed communicated or threatened to communicate credit information which is known or which should be known to be false, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(8).
- l. Ryan & Reed used false representations or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect the debt or to obtain information concerning Ms.Franco, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(10).
- Ryan & Reed collected an amount without express authorization under the agreement m. creating the debt or other statutory authority in violation of 15 U.S.C. 1692(f)(1).
- Causing charges to be made to any person for communications by concealment of the n, true purpose of the communication. Such charges include, but are not limited to, collect telephone calls and telegram fees.
- ο. (6)Taking or threatening to take any nonjudicial action to effect dispossession or disablement of property if-
 - i. (A) there is no present right to possession of the property claimed as collateral through an enforceable security interest;
 - íi. (B) there is no present intention to take possession of the property; or
 - iii, (C) the property is exempt by law from such dispossession or disablement.

- 25. Ms. Franco has suffered damages as a result of Ryan & Reed's violations of the FDCPA.
 COUNT IV Michigan Debt Collection Practices Act (Ryan & Reed)
- 26. Ms. Franco incorporates the preceding allegations by reference.
- 27. Ryan & Reed is a "regulated person" under the Michigan Debt Collection Practices Act ("MDCPA"), M.C.L. § 445.251(g)(xi).
- 28. Ryan & Reed violated the Michigan Collection Practices Act, M.C.L. § 445.251 et seq. include, but are not limited to, the following
 - a. Communicating with a debtor in a misleading or deceptive manner, such as using the stationery of an attorney or credit bureau unless the regulated person is an attorney or is a credit bureau and it is disclosed that it is the collection department of the credit bureau, in violation of M.C.L. §445.252(a).
 - b. Making an inaccurate, misleading, untrue, or deceptive statement or claim in a communication to collect a debt or concealing or not revealing the purpose of a communication when it is made in connection with collecting a debt in violation of M.C.L. §445.252(e).
 - c. Misrepresenting in a communication with a debtor one or more of the following in violation of M.C.L. §445.252(f).:
 - i. The legal status of a legal action being taken or threatened.
 - ii. The legal rights of the creditor or debtor.
 - iii. That the nonpayment of a debt will result in the debtor's arrest or imprisonment, or the seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of the debtor's property.

- iv. That accounts have been turned over to innocent purchasers for value.
- d. Using a harassing, oppressive, or abusive method to collect a debt, including causing a telephone to ring or engaging a person in telephone conversation repeatedly, continuously, or at unusual times or places which are known to be inconvenient to the debtor. All communications shall be made from 8 a.m. to 9 p.m. unless the debtor expressly agrees in writing to communications at another time. All telephone communications made from 9 p.m. to 8 a.m. shall be presumed to be made at an inconvenient time in the absence of facts to the contrary in violation of M.C.L. §445.252(n).
- e. Using profane or obscene language in violation of M.C.L. §445.252(o).
- f. Failing to implement a procedure designed to prevent a violation by an employee in violation of M.C.L. §445.252(q).
- g. Employing a person required to be licensed under article 9 of Act No. 299 of the Public Acts of 1980, being sections 339.901 to 339.916 of the Michigan Compiled Laws, to collect a claim unless that person is licensed under article 9 of Act No. 299 of the Public Acts of 1980 in violation of M.C.L. §445.252(s).
- 29. Ms. Franco has suffered damages as a result of Ryan & Reed's violations of the Michigan Collection Practices Act.

COUNT V - Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (Ryan & Reed)

- 30. Ms. Franco incorporates the preceding allegations by reference.
- 31. Ryan & Reed intentionally inflicted emotional distress on Ms. Franco.

- 32. Such infliction was caused by extreme and outrageous conduct, which was intentional or reckless, and which caused severe emotional distress.
- 33. Ms. Franco has been harmed by this intentional infliction of emotional distress in an amount to be determined at trial.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

34. Plaintiff demands trial by jury in this action.

DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT FOR RELIEF

- 35. Accordingly, Ms. Franco requests that the Court grant:
 - a. Actual damages.
 - b. Statutory damages.
 - c. Statutory costs and attorney fees.

Respectfully Submitted,

LYNKKLIP & TAUB

COMSUMER LAW GROUP, PLO

By:

Adam G. Taub (P48703)

Attorney For Michelle Franco

24500 Northwestern Highway, Ste. 206

Southfield, MI 48075

(248) 746-3790

AdamLaw@Pop.Net

Dated: December 19, 2005

JS 44 11/99 CIVIL COVER SHEET COUNTY IN WHICH THIS ACTION AROSE: St. Clair The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. I. (a) PLAINTIFFS **DEFENDANTS** ROBERT W. BIRD. at MICHELLE FRANCO RYAN & REED, INC. (b) County of Residence of First Listed County of Residence of First Listed NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE (C) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Lyngklip & Taub Consumer Law Group, PLC 24500 Northwestern Hwy., Ste. 206, Troy, MI 48075 (248) 746-3790 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) DEF PLA DEF Incorporated or Principal Place 1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question Citizen of This State Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) of Business In This State 2 U.S. Government 4 Diversity Citizen of Another [2 | 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties of Business In Another State in Rem 111) Citizen or Subject of a . 3 . 3 Foreign Nation □6 □6 Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TORTS FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES □ 110 Insurence ☐ 400 \$tate Reapportionment **PERSONAL INJURY** PERSONAL INJURY 810 Agriculture 120 Marine 31 0 Airplane 362 Personal Injury-620 Other Food & Drug 41 0 Antitrust 825 Drug Rolated Seizure 130 Miller Act 315 Airplana Product Med. Malpractice 423 Withdrawal 430 Banks and Banking 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability 28 USC 157 of Property 21, 881 365 Personal InVry -Product Lia ility 150 Recovery of Overpayment and Enforcement Ü 480 Deportation 630 Liquor Laws 320 Assault Libel And Stander PROPERTY RIGHTS 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product 840 R.R. & Truck of Judgment ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced & Compt Organizations ☐ 151 Medicare Act 330 Federal Employers' Liability 650 Airline Reas. 820 Copyrights 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 680 Occupational 810 Selective Service 830 Patent Student Loans 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPERTY Safety/Health ■ 850 Securities/Com modities/ 840 Trademark (Excl. Veterans) \Box 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud ["] 890 Other Exchange ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 371 Truth in Lending 875 Customer Challenge 12 LISC 3410 Liability SOCIAL SECURITY LABOR 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal 」 891 Agricultural Acts 160 Stockholders' Şuits 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage 71 0 Fair Labor Standards 861 H (A (1 395ff) Product Liability 190 Other Contract Ε'n ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act 385 Property Damage 852 Black Lung (923) Act 195 Contract Product Liability 380 Other Personal ☐ 893 Environmental Matters Product Liability 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations Injury 863 (MWC/DIWW (405(g)) 894 Energy Allocation Act 864 SSID Title XVI REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS □ 895 Freedom of □ 865 RSI (405(g)) 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting Information Act & Disclosure Act 210 Land Condemnation 441 Voting 51 0 Motions to Vacate ☐ 900 Appeal of Fee
Determination Under
Equal Access to Justice FEDERAL TAX SUITS 220 Foreclosure 442 Employment \$entence 740 Railway Labor Act 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus: ☐ B70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintif Accommodations 790 Other Labor Litigation 240 Tods to Land 530 General or Defendant) ☐ 950 Constitutionality of 535 Death Penalty 245 Torl Product Liability 444 Welfare State Statutes 290 All Other Real Property 440 Other Civil Rights 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. 540 Mandamus & Other 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 871 IRS-Third Party 550 Civil Rights Security Act 26 USC 7609 □ 555 Prison Condition (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) Appeal to V. ORIGIN Transferred from District another district 7 Judge from 4 Reinstated 5 (specify) Original Removed from Remanded from 6 Multi district Magistrate Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Litigation VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write brief statement of cause.

Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) Plaintiff brings this cause of action for violations of the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. §1692 and Michigan Debt Collection Act, M.C.L. §445.21 et seq VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: SDEMAND CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: Yes No COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE(S) instructions): DOCKET IF ANY JUDGE

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

NUMBER

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?	Yes
lf yes, giv	e the following information:	No
Co u rt:		
Case No.:		
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)	Yes No
If yes, give	e the following information:	
Court:		
Case No.:	in the second se	
Notes :		