## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO.: ED CV 05-15 RGK (SGLx)

- - - - - - - - - X

PAUL MINNICK,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NELNET CORPORATION;

NELNET-I TRUST; EQUIFAX :

INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC; :

EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS,:

INC.; TRANSUNION, LLC; and

DOES 1 to 10,

Defendants.

Deposition of ALICIA FLUELLEN

(Taken by Plaintiff)

Atlanta, Georgia

August 31, 2005

Reported by: Mary K. Caldwell

Court Reporter

Page 1

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	
2	For the Plaintiff:	
3	ROBERT STEMPLER, ESQ. (By telephone)	
4	Law Office of Robert Stempler	
5	3400 Inland Empire Boulevard	
6	Suite 101	
7	Ontario, California 91764-5577	
8	(909) 972-6841	
9	stempler@earthlink.net	
10		
11	For Defendant Nelnet:	
12	PAUL F. DONSBACH, ESQ. (By telephone)	
13	Kutak Rock	
14	18201 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1100	
15	Irvine, California 92612-1077	
16	(213) 996-8478	
17	paul.donsbach@kutakrock.com	
18		
19	For Defendant Equifax Information Services:	
20	AMY GREENSTEIN, ESQ.	
21	Kilpatrick Stockton	
22	1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800	
23	Atlanta, Georgia 30309	
24	(404) 815-6500	
25		Page 2
		C

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	(Continued)
3	For Defendant TransUnion:
4	KIRSTEN HEIKAUS WEAVER, ESQ. (By phone)
5	Crowell & Moring
6	3 Park Plaza, 20th Floor
7	Irvine, California 92614-8508
8	(949) 263-8400
9	kheikausweaver@crowell.com
10	
11	
12	Deposition of ALICIA FLUELLEN, taken by
13	the Plaintiff, at Kilpatrick Stockton, 1100
14	Peachtree Street, Suite 2800, Atlanta, Georgia, on
15	the 31st day of August, 2005, at 9:30 a.m., before
16	Mary K. Caldwell, Court Reporter.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	D 2
	Page 3

1	CONTENTS	
2	THE WITNESS: ALICIA FLUELLEN	
3	EXAMINATION	PAGE
4	BY MR. STEMPLER:	5
5	BY MS. WEAVER:	161
6	BY MR. STEMPLER:	162
7		
8		
9	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
10	(No exhibits were marked for identification	. )
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		Page 4

1	PROCEEDINGS:
2	ALICIA FLUELLEN,
3	having been first duly sworn, was examined and
4	testified as follows:
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. STEMPLER:
7	Q Ms. Fluellen, could you please state and
8	spell your name for the court reporter and the
9	record.
10	A It's Alicia, A-L-I-C-I-A, last name
11	Fluellen, F-L-U-E-L-L-E-N (spelling).
12	Q And are you employed by Equifax?
13	A Yes. I'm employed by Equifax Information
14	Services, LLC.
15	Q Do you mind if we simply refer to your
16	employer as Equifax today?
17	A Okay.
18	Q Great. How long have you been employed by
19	Equifax?
20	A Since September 27th, 1993.
21	Q And since September 27th, 1993, what
22	positions have you held within Equifax?
23	A I've held I started as a customer-
24	service representative. I've held the position of
25	maintenance reviewer. I've held the position of

Page 5

1	consumer relations specialist. I have held the
2	position of quality-assurance observation
3	specialist. I've held the position of function
4	coordinator, which is a front-line supervisor. And
5	then I've held the position as supervisor, I've held
6	the position of manager, and I've held currently the
7	director position.
8	MR. DONSBACH: I'm sorry. The current
9	position is director of what?
10	THE WITNESS: Director of customer care.
11	MR. DONSBACH: Thank you.
12	BY MR. STEMPLER:
13	Q Ms. Fluellen, how often have you had your
14	deposition taken?
15	A I would speculate to say it might be 30 to
16	40 times.
17	Q Is that an estimate or is that a guess?
18	A It's both. It's my educated guess.
19	Q Well, as you know, at a deposition, the
20	important part is that I ask you questions. Your
21	attorney has a chance to pose any objection. And
22	other counsel may pose an objection, as well. And
23	then and all the while and then you have a
24	chance to answer, assuming you've been instructed
25	not to answer the question due to some privilege or $$\operatorname{\textsc{Page}}\xspace6$$

1 some other basis. And the court reporter, all the while, is 2 3 typing everything down. Please be mindful of that, 4 because what you just said was extraordinarily fast. The last time we met, which was about two years ago, 5 6 I don't recall you talking this fast. 7 Α Really? 8 Yeah. Maybe it's the additional cups of 9 coffee this morning. I don't drink coffee. 10 Anyway, how long have you been director of 11 0 12 customer care? 13 Since early part of 2003. 14 0 So has it been about two years or more 15 than two years? Well, it's, what, October or -- September 16 17 of 2005, so a little over two years. And before that your position was 18 19 supervisor --20 What did you say you were supervising at 2.1 the time? 22 Just prior to that, I was a manager. Α 23 I managed the telephone customer service, along with 24 the mail room. 25 Along with the what? Q Page 7

1	A Mail room.
2	Q I'm sorry. I'm really having a hard time
3	hearing what you just said.
4	A Mail room.
5	Q Mail room?
6	A Yes.
7	Q I'm sorry. And how long did you manage
8	telephone customer service and the mail room?
9	A I believe that started in the latter part
10	of 1999 up until the time I became a director, which
11	was in 2003.
12	MR. STEMPLER: Is there any way you could
13	move the telephone to be just a little bit more
14	directly in front of the witness?
15	THE WITNESS: It's on top of me.
16	MR. STEMPLER: Oh, okay.
17	THE WITNESS: Is that better? We turned
18	the volume up.
19	MR. STEMPLER: That is that is a little
20	better. I don't know if your volume is going
21	to assist how counsel and myself hear you over
22	the phone, but I appreciate your speaking into
23	the microphone. Thank you.
24	THE WITNESS: It's okay. I mean, I am
25	literally on top of the phone. Page $8$

1 MR. STEMPLER: Okay. Thank you. 2 BY MR. STEMPLER: 3 Presently, what do your duties with 0 Equifax include as the director of customer care? 4 I oversee the office of consumer affairs, 5 6 and I also oversee the reinvestigation process. 7 0 How many employees report to you in connection with your duties as director of customer 8 9 care? 10 Α There are approximately 25. And these are the people that report to 11 0 12 you? 13 Α Yes. Do you know how many people are, on behalf 14 0 of Equifax, whether employed directly or at one of 15 Equifax's outside contractors, are involved in the 16 process of reinvestigating consumer disputes? 17 There's currently about 850. 18 Α Yes. 19 And of those 850, how many are direct 0 20 employees of Equifax? 2.1 Approximately a hundred. Α 22 The 750 that are not directly employed by 0 23 Equifax, is there a particular company for whom they 24 are employed? 25 There are ICT, Convergys and DDC. Α Yes. Page 9

1	Q What are those initials, again?
2	A D like David, D like David, C like cat.
3	Q Do you happen to know what the initials of
4	those two companies with initials stand for, the ICT
5	and the DDC?
6	A Well, from my understanding, the ICT
7	doesn't stand for anything. That's their company
8	name. And DDC is Direct Data Capture.
9	Q Is there any specific company that handles
10	telephone calls from consumers with respect to a
11	dispute of an Equifax credit report?
12	A That would be Convergys and ICT.
13	Q And what about disputes by mail?
14	A That would be Convergys and DDC.
15	Q What about disputes from a consumer by
16	fax?
17	A That would be ICT. And fax is used to
18	supplement disputes that were processed by mail,
19	e-mail or phone or I didn't mean e-mail, but I
20	meant internet.
21	Q Okay. So ICT handles the faxes, although
22	is it correct that a fax may go to the others to
23	supplement something that was a previous dispute?
24	A No. Currently, the faxes are being
25	processed by ICT. But what I stated was, we don't $ \text{Page } 10 $

1 normally have a mechanism where the consumer can fax 2 in a dispute. If the consumer calls in in regards 3 to a dispute and has some information that they would like for us to review, then at that point we 4 would offer the fax number; and then the fax number 5 6 is processed at ICT. And then we have some faxes 7 that are processed in Atlanta, as well. 8 By employees of Equifax? 9 Α Correct. 10 0 What about disputes that are received via the internet? Who would handle those? 11 12 Those are actually being handled by Α Yeah. 13 the consumer. The consumer is, through the web, interfacing with our ACIS system. 14 So your understanding is that when the 15 0 consumer goes onto Equifax's website and interfaces 16 with ACIS, there's no need for any Equifax employee 17 or one of its subcontractors to forward the dispute 18 19 to the appropriate party, such as a furnisher, and 20 then make sure that the information is processed 2.1 later on? 22 If a consumer logs into That is correct. the internet and lodges a dispute, at that point 23 24 what they enter in is forwarded to the credit 25 grantor.

Q And when the credit grantor responds to
the investigation or the verification request, who
handles that?
A It could be handled in an automated
fashion. If it's not handled in an automated
fashion, then we have verification processors who
will enter that information into ACIS.
Q I'm sorry. So is it an employee of
Equifax that handles that if it's not handled in an
automated fashion?
A Yes. There are employees of Equifax that
will handle that.
Q We were just talking about the interface
of consumers telephonically via fax or mail by
Equifax and these various subcontractors of Equifax.
When there is a response by a furnisher of
information to a verification request, who would
handle those or is it the company that sent out the
verification request initially?
A I'm sorry. I don't understand your
question.
Q Okay. Let's talk about ICT as an example.
A Uh-huh.
Q ICT handles the telephone calls, many of
the telephone calls, from consumers regarding Page 12

1	Equifax disputes.
2	When ICT sends out a verification request
3	to the furnisher and the furnisher responds to that
4	request, does ICT also handle the credit-report
5	update or is that handled somewhere else?
6	A That would be handled somewhere else.
7	Q Okay. Where was where would that be
8	handled?
9	A The majority of the verification
10	processors that we have are in Costa Rica, and those
11	are Equifax employees.
12	Q So if Equifax
13	A I'm sorry. I apologize. I just wanted to
14	go back a little bit because, as I think about the
15	timeframe of this dispute, we did have another
16	vendor, which was ACS, but we don't do business with
17	ACS anymore; but I do have to mention it because I
18	believe that's could have been some disputes that
19	may have been handled. I don't remember.
20	Q Well, when did ACS get phased out from
21	Equifax's dispute process?
22	A Mid April of 2005.
23	Q So was it before April 1st, 2005?
24	A No. It was mid April, around the 15th.
25	Q And what kinds of disputes did ACS handle Page 13

1	prior to April 15th, '05?
2	A They did handle mail disputes.
3	Q Any other types of disputes?
4	A No. They didn't handle phone disputes or
5	faxes.
6	Q And the employees of Equifax in Costa
7	Rica
8	How many employees does Equifax have in
9	Costa Rica?
10	A That's a moving target. That staff is
11	growing a bit. Last I knew, it was about 50.
12	Q That's what it is presently?
13	A Yes, as of about a month ago; but I
14	believe there might be some new staff that's going
15	to be added, or has been added, in the next couple
16	of weeks.
17	Q Approximately how many?
18	A Maybe 20. I'm really guessing there. I
19	haven't I have not looked to check that out.
20	Q So the Costa Rica office of Equifax,
21	that's where the reinvestigation process is
22	completed and the responses from the furnishers are
23	correlated with respect to the consumer reports?
24	A No. In Costa Rica, there is some
25	disputing. There is some fulfilling or processing Page 14
	1 450 11

1	requests for consumers who want a copy of their
2	credit file. And then there is where the majority
3	of our verification processors are, but they don't
4	close out the reinvestigation process. That's the
5	maintenance phase, and the maintenance phase is in
6	Atlanta.
7	Q How many employees handle that maintenance
8	phase?
9	A The maintenance phase? There are
10	approximately, if I'm not mistaken, about 80 now.
11	And I need to correct myself. There is
12	some of that being processed at DDC, as well.
13	Q You said UTC?
14	A DDC, the vendor we talked about earlier.
15	Q Oh, okay. So Equifax employees in Atlanta
16	handle the maintenance phase in addition to some
17	employees of DDC?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Would you please describe the maintenance
20	phase one more time, as far as you understand it.
21	A Oh, sure. I used to do it, so I can I
22	can describe it. That's where the reinvestigation
23	is closed out, as you put it. Once we the
24	consumer's dispute is entered into ACIS and the
25	verification is or the request for verification Page 15
	1 age 13

1 is forwarded to the credit grantor and we receive 2 the response, the maintenance phase is where we make 3 sure that the credit report looks like it's supposed to look, make sure that all the policies and 4 procedures are followed by Equifax; and we send out 5 6 the final copy to the consumer at that point. 7 0 So, ultimately, all of the credit reports 8 in response to a consumer-dispute reinvestigation 9 request come from Equifax in Atlanta or do some of them also come from DDC? 10 11 Well -- okay -- the database is in 12 Atlanta; but, yes, the actual processing could be from -- some from DDC and some from Atlanta. 13 14 correct. Where is DDC located? 15 0 DDC is located in the Philippines. 16 Α 17 Pardon me? 0 The Philippines, Manila. 18 Α Manila? 19 0 20 Yeah. Α 21 The Philippines? 0 Oh. 22 Α Yes. 23 Are any of the credit reports prepared in Q 24 the Philippines by DDC? 25 Well, can you define "prepared"? Α Page 16

	1	Q Well, you described part of the
	2	maintenance phase as making sure that the credit
	3	reports are in acceptable form to be sent out to the
	4	consumer after a reinvestigation has been completed.
	5	I wanted to find out if any DDC employees
	6	do that function from Manila, Philippines.
	7	A Yes. They do the function in Manila, but
	8	the actual updating of the database and the
	9	initiating of the printing is in Atlanta. They
	10	don't have the capability to print a credit report
	11	and send it out.
	12	Q Have you been shown the Notice of
	13	Deposition
	14	A Yes.
	15	Q in the matter?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Have you reviewed the subject matters?
	18	There are approximately there are 52 subject
	19	matters that are listed in the Notice.
	20	A Yes. I did notice that.
	21	Q Are there any subject matters for which
	22	you will not be testifying?
	23	MS. GREENSTEIN: Robert, this is Amy,
	24	obviously. She will not be responding to the
	25	ones that we objected to, to the extent we Page 17
1		6

1	objected to them. We sent that notice to you
2	via fax on Monday, I believe.
3	MR. STEMPLER: Yes. I received your
4	objections, and I was asking for obviously,
5	I understand about it not being notwith-
6	standing the objections. But I wanted to find
7	out if there were any subjects for which she
8	will not be testifying
9	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Could you
10	restate that.
11	THE WITNESS: That was the court reporter.
12	MR. STEMPLER: I wanted to find out,
13	notwithstanding the objections, whether there
14	were any subject matters specified for which
15	she will not be testifying at all or is not
16	capable of testifying at all.
17	MS. GREENSTEIN: Okay. Well, there were
18	several this is Amy again. There were
19	several subjects that I told you we would not
20	be providing testimony on I'm looking I
21	didn't bring my objections the costs of
22	reinvestigation.
23	MR. STEMPLER: Which could we talk
24	about subject-matter number, please.
25	MS. GREENSTEIN: 44, 45, 46, 47, 48. I Page 18

1	mean, you know, for that matter, you can ask
2	her. I don't know if she knows the answer to
3	those questions, anyway.
4	MR. STEMPLER: 44 through 48?
5	MS. GREENSTEIN: Let me let her look
6	through the thing through the exhibit
7	through the request, you know. She you can
8	ask, and I'll just put the objection on the
9	record if because there's just so many right
10	now, that she may not have knowledge.
11	MR. STEMPLER: Well, it's pretty
12	traditional for the witness to just say what
13	she can't testify to.
14	MS. GREENSTEIN: That's why she's looking
15	at it right now.
16	THE WITNESS: (Witness reviews document).
17	Yeah. That would be it, the cost on the
18	information. Okay. Yeah, 44 through 48.
19	MR. STEMPLER: Okay. So
20	THE WITNESS: 52, the net worth, I have no
21	idea.
22	MR. STEMPLER: Is that the witness
23	talking?
24	THE WITNESS: It's me, yes. That's it.
25	MR. STEMPLER: Let's do you have, Amy, Page 19

1	the documents that have been produced by
2	Plaintiff in this case?
3	MS. GREENSTEIN: I believe I do.
4	MR. STEMPLER: Great. I'd like to
5	MS. GREENSTEIN: Actually, you know what,
6	hang on one second, Robert. Hang on one
7	second. I think I may have left those
8	inadvertently in my office. I have some of
9	them, so let me know
10	MR. STEMPLER: I wanted to go from the
11	numbers that are itemized as 337-01 through
12	357-01.
13	MS. GREENSTEIN: Okay. Hang on one
14	second. I have 337-01 through 349-0 349-14.
15	Let me just
16	MR. STEMPLER: You know what, why don't we
17	just start with those documents. And when we
18	take a break, you can be getting those other
19	documents.
20	MS. GREENSTEIN: That's great. Thank you.
21	BY MR. STEMPLER:
22	Q Okay. Do you have those documents out,
23	Ms. Fluellen?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q Great. Other than the handwriting that Page 20

1 appears on 337-01, does that exhibit appear to be 2 one that was prepared through Equifax's -- one of 3 Equifax's websites? Yes. From what I could tell, this was a 4 5 document that was prepared by Equifax Consumer 6 Services, which is another company of Equifax -- but 7 the consumer -- that sells credit reports to 8 consumers or credit files to consumers. 9 appears to be what this is. 10 O So, would you tell me, is Equifax Consumer Services a wholly-owned subsidiary of Equifax? 11 12 Α Yes. 13 And that's the interface that the consumer 14 sees when they go online to try to get directly from 15 Equifax their consumer report? 16 Α Yes. 17 And so is it correct that with respect to Exhibit 337-01, the consumer paid \$9 for the Equifax 18 19 consumer report to this Equifax Consumer Services? 20 That's what it appears here, yes, that Α 2.1 there was \$9 that was charged. 22 0 With respect to 337-02 through 337-18, 23 other than the faxes, there are some highlighting 24 and maybe a few extraneous marks that have been 25 added. Page 21

1 Does this document appear to be a consumer 2 disclosure prepared by Equifax through its Equifax 3 Consumer Services website? Α I don't know if you heard me. 4 Yes. 5 say yes. 6 And --Yes. 7 Oh. Α -- how would you verify that the informa-8 9 tion contained in this credit report is the actual information derived from Equifax's data files? 10 I actually -- I can't. I just don't have 11 Α 12 reason to believe that it's not, but I can't. 13 Why do you have reason to believe that it 14 is? Well, I'm recognizing the format of what's 15 Α before me. And it is the format of an Equifax 16 credit report printed by Personal Solutions, which 17 is Equifax Consumer Services. 18 19 Have you ever seen other credit reports 0 20 that were prepared, to your knowledge, directly from 2.1 this website? 22 Α Yes. 23 Now, on Page 337-02, there is a -- in the 24 upper left-hand corner, there is a report confirma-25 tion number. Page 22

1 Do you know if that corresponds to any 2 information available at Equifax that would tie this 3 report to maybe one of the documents that was produced in discovery through your law firm? 4 If I'm not mistaken, we wouldn't --5 6 we don't -- we -- Equifax Information Services, we 7 don't keep a copy of what the consumer gets because, 8 remember, I told you, it's a different company. 9 Equifax Consumer Services, they may have a 10 copy of this. I'm not quite sure, but we don't have a copy of that -- of this. 11 12 In the production of the documents through 13 your law offices, there were several documents that refer to the credit-report information. 14 I'm 15 referring specifically to EISO01 through -- well, let's see. 16 Which of these documents are the ACIS 17 18 cases? 19 Okay. The ACIS cases are 001 through Α 20 005 -- and I don't have this in any particular --2.1 well, okay, I've put it in order -- 006 through 22 0012. That's a case, a separate one. Okay. 23 then I have 0013 through 0022. Okay. And then 24 there is 0023 through 0030, 0031 --25 This is what you're asking for; right? Page 23

1	Q I am. Thank you very much.
2	A Okay. I was just making sure. Okay.
3	through 0038, 0039 through 0046 and
4	then 0047 through one second 0065.
5	Those are all ACIS cases.
6	Q The frozen scans that are included at
7	EIS067 through EIS085
8	A Uh-huh.
9	Q are not considered ACIS cases; is that
10	correct?
11	A No. They're not ACIS cases.
12	Q Nor are the frozen scans at EIS0193
13	through EIS0233; correct?
14	A Okay. Hold on one second. Amy is looking
15	flustered right now.
16	MS. GREENSTEIN: You're referring to the
17	frozen scans; correct? I don't have the Bates-
18	labeled ones in here.
19	But you're just talking about frozen
20	scans; correct, Robert?
21	MR. STEMPLER: Correct. I'm making sure
22	that I'm excluding that those can be
23	excluded from the ACIS cases discussion.
24	A Yes. They're yes. They're
25	independently different from one another. Page 24

1	BY MR. STEMPLER:
2	Q This might be a good time for you to
3	please explain, what is the difference between an
4	ACIS case and a credit report or consumer
5	disclosure?
6	A Okay.
7	Q Would you, please.
8	A Yes. An ACIS case is our report or a copy
9	of what transpired through the reinvestigation
10	process. And then a disclosure is the snapshot of
11	how a consumer's credit file looked that day that's
12	sent to the consumer.
13	Q Is it correct that the ACIS case includes
14	a snapshot of the consumer's disclosure at the time
15	the revised disclosure is sent to the consumer
16	following the reinvestigation?
17	A Yes. That is very right.
18	Q So in looking at this disclosure that
19	appears, as we've been discussing a few minutes ago,
20	at 337-02 through 337-18, that does not correspond
21	directly to anything that was produced by Equifax
22	through the law offices of Kilpatrick Stockton?
23	A No. That's correct.
24	Q Is it correct that Equifax does not have
25	an independent copy of disclosures that were Page 25
	1 uge 23

1 provided to the consumer at the consumer's request, 2 other than the reinvestigation consumer disclosures? 3 Α That is correct, yes. Turn, please, to Exhibit 338-01. And I 4 think it actually starts on Page 01 and goes through 5 6 338-11. 7 Α Okay. 8 Does this document appear to be from one 9 of Equifax's websites concerning the reinvestigation 10 process for a consumer? 11 Α Yes. 12 From reviewing this document --Q 13 Well, does this document here, 338-01, correspond to one of the ACIS cases that were 14 15 produced through Kilpatrick Stockton? Well, this actual form is -- hold on one 16 Α 17 second. Yes. It corresponds with 001 through 005. 18 There is a number, called the research 19 0 20 request form for disclosure number, appearing on 21 338-01 -- do you see that -- towards the middle? 22 Α Yes. 23 Does that number correspond to anything on 24 the ACIS case produced as EIS001 through EIS005? 25 I'm -- if I'm omitting a zero, I apologize for that. Page 26

1 It's probably three zeroes and a one. 2 That's okay. Α No. From my understanding, the number that's 3 on 001 is the disclosure number. A disclosure does 4 have a confirmation number so -- but it does not 5 6 correspond -- that number does not correspond with 7 It's the number that's on 340-01 that 001. corresponds with 001. 8 9 Do you know what, I'm not seeing it. 10 There's also a number on EIS001 at the top. At the very top on that, it says 4124018453. 11 12 Right. That's -- that's what I was Α 13 talking about. If you look at 340-01 -- which I guess I'm jumping ahead of you -- but that number 14 15 corresponds with the number you just pointed out to 16 me. So is it correct that 340-01 is 17 Oh, okay. an Equifax-produced document following the start of 18 the investigation request which is indicated at 19 20 338-01 through 338-11? 2.1 Α Yes. 22 So Equifax's online system, at the Okay. 0 23 end of the session by the consumer, it then provides 24 the consumer with a reference number. And that reference number is correlated on the ACIS case that 25 Page 27

1 you have here at EIS001 through EIS0005; correct? 2 Α Yes. Page -- or Exhibit 339-01 refers to an 3 0 Equifax credit report. 4 5 Does that page appear to be an actual page 6 prepared by Equifax Consumer Services online? 7 MS. GREENSTEIN: What page are you asking her about? 8 9 THE WITNESS: 338-02; is that right? 10 MR. STEMPLER: No. I'm at 339-01. 11 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Hold on. Hold 12 on. 13 MR. STEMPLER: No problem. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I'm 15 Can you repeat your question? BY MR. STEMPLER: 16 I just was asking if this document, 17 Yes. 339-01, appears to be a genuine document prepared by 18 Equifax Consumer Services' website? 19 20 Α Yes. 2.1 By the way, I should have said at the 22 beginning, Ms. Fluellen, when you or somebody in the 23 room there needs to take a break, please let me 24 We'll take a break as required for you. 25 Okay, because I --Α Page 28

1	Q When you want
2	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I need to take a
3	break.
4	MR. STEMPLER: Then, let's do that. At
5	this time, also, if I could ask Ms. Greenstein
6	if she would get those other documents so
7	MS. GREENSTEIN: Absolutely.
8	MR. STEMPLER: that in five minutes
9	we'll have that.
10	MS. GREENSTEIN: Perfect. I'll go do that
11	right now.
12	MR. STEMPLER: Okay. We're going off
13	record.
14	(Whereupon, there was a recess
15	in the deposition.)
16	MS. GREENSTEIN: All right. I believe,
17	Robert, that I have the entire file; so let's
18	hope that's true.
19	MR. STEMPLER: I haven't heard that
20	Kirsten is back on the line.
21	Kirsten, are you there?
22	MS. WEAVER: Yes, I am here.
23	MR. STEMPLER: Okay. Let's go ahead and
24	start and go back on the record.
25	BY MR. STEMPLER: Page 29
	Tage 27

1 Q Turning, please, to Exhibit 341-	03
2 MS. GREENSTEIN: Okay. Hang on.	We've
3 got to find it. It's not in there.	
4 MR. STEMPLER: Just one second,	please.
5 Well, actually, let's start at 341-01	
6 MS. GREENSTEIN: Okay. Hang on.	We're
7 looking for it.	
8 Where was the other where was	that
9 stack that you were dealing with earl	ier of his
10 stuff that we oh. That's 337.	
11 THE WITNESS: Here it is. I hav	e it. I
12 have it.	
13 MR. STEMPLER: Okay.	
14 BY MR. STEMPLER:	
15 Q Is that an authentic copy of the	results
16 of the Equifax reinvestigation dated May 3	rd, 2004?
17 A Yes.	
18 Q Is it correct that this document	
19 originates in Atlanta through Equifax Info	rmation
20 Services, LLC?	
21 A Yes.	
22 Q Are you able to tell, either from	m looking
23 at this or from the ACIS case to which it	relates,
24 where the processing was done for the rein	vestiga-
25 tion, in particular, the maintenance?	Page 30

1 Α It appears that the maintenance was done 2 in Atlanta, and the processing -- the actual 3 processing was done via the internet. 4 So you can tell, from the ACIS case which is at exhibit -- which is at Bates No. EIS0001 5 through EIS0005, that this is an online consumer 6 7 dispute? Α Yes. 9 What on the ACIS case indicates that to 10 you, please? 11 On 0005, team I.D., AIT. Α 12 What does AIT mean? I don't know what the initials mean. 13 don't believe they mean anything, but that is the 14 15 queue in which the internet disputes are processed 16 in. What other team I.D.'s might appear 17 depending upon the -- where the reinvestigation was 18 initiated? 19 20 There are quite a few, sir. Α 2.1 Well, let's talk about each one that I can 0 22 think of. 23 Α Okay. 24 What if it was sent in by mail from the 25 consumer? Page 31

1 Α Well, it's not necessarily by mail. 2 would have to look at it. I know NP-1, NP-2, NP-3. 3 There are many, many different queues. Just because 4 the queue -- it's in a particular queue doesn't necessarily mean it's by mail or by phone. 5 6 have to look at the case. It's not that simple. 7 0 Okay. We'll take each one as it comes. 8 Α Okay. 9 Included at Exhibit 341-01 through 341-08 10 is a consumer report, starting on Page 03. 11 Do you see that? 12 Yes. Α 13 Does that appear to be the actual credit 14 file pertaining to Paul C. Minnick, Jr., as of May 3rd, 2004? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 And it was prepared by Equifax out of Atlanta; is that correct? 18 19 Yes, sir. Α 20 Turn now, please, to Exhibit 342-01 0 21 through 342-10. 22 Α Okay. 23 Does this appear to be the authentic 24 results from Equifax of another reinvestigation 25 request originated by Paul C. Minnick, Jr.? Page 32

1	A Yes.
2	Q Does it correlate to any of the ACIS cases
3	that were produced through Kilpatrick Stockton?
4	A Yes, 47 through 66.
5	Q So you're referring to Bates No. EIS0047
6	through EIS0066?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And looking at the ACIS case that we've
9	just discussed, EIS00047 through 66, can you tell
10	how the dispute was lodged by the consumer?
11	A Yes. It was also lodged or initiated via
12	the internet.
13	Q And where do you see that indication,
14	please?
15	A 0057, where it says disclosure type, www.
16	Q There is a page that we're looking at
17	right now, EIS0057, that refers to ACRO maintenance
18	transaction summary.
19	Could you please explain what ACRO means?
20	A ACRO is automated credit reporting online.
21	Q Is an ACRO maintenance transaction summary
22	prepared for every ACIS case that is processed from
23	an online consumer dispute?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Should there be an ACRO maintenance Page 33
	Tage 33

1 transaction summary for the other ACIS case that we 2 reviewed appearing on EIS001 through EIS0005? 3 Α Yes. And we have one. It's on 005. There is a difference, apparently, of four 4 Q 5 days. 6 How come the format appears different? 7 Α I don't know. That's one of my age-old 8 questions. That's a technical question. I truly 9 don't know, because if you'll notice that the -- the 10 copy of the credit file, it's formatted differently, 11 as well. 12 The other question I have is: In looking 13 at the ACRO maintenance transaction summary on EIS0057, it appears that it refers to the online 14 dispute that was lodged on April 18th, 2004. 15 believe that's the same dispute for which the ACIS 16 case is printed at EIS0001 through 5. 17 Is that your understanding, as well? 18 19 Α You'll have to repeat that, because I 20 don't see a correlation. 2.1 Can you just restate that question, 22 please? 23 In looking at EIS0001 through 5 --Yes. Q 24 Uh-huh. 25 -- I don't see a date on which the 0 Page 34

1 consumer dispute was received by Equifax. Do you? 2 Yes. You can tell two ways. If you look 3 at the EIS0005, up in the left-hand corner it says, 4 date received, May 3rd, 2004. 5 6 And then, also, if you look at where the consumer's name and Social Security number is, where 7 8 it says comments, that also confirms the date in 9 which it was processed. 10 So it looks as though it was -- we started the investigation and received the request for the 11 12 investigation on May 3rd, 2004. On the other one, the one -- the 47, the 00047 ACIS case, that 13 appeared to have been received and started on April 14 18th, 2004. 15 Well, what I find confusing -- and maybe 16 you have an explanation, maybe you don't --17 Α Uh-huh. 18 19 -- is that the ACIS case, as reflected on 20 the ACRO maintenance transaction summary on EIS0057, 2.1 was started in mid April and completed on May 7th, whereas, the ACIS case that is on pages EIS0001 22 23 through 5 was started on May 3rd and finished on May 24 I'm not able to reconcile how that is. 3rd. 25 Perhaps, you can do a better job. Page 35

1 Well, those are the dates. I mean, Α 2 that's -- that is -- is what's happened. 3 It appears as though, on EIS0005, the only update that was being made was the date of birth, 4 where we changed the year from 1952 to 1953; so that 5 6 took a shorter amount of time as opposed to on the 7 00047. Yeah, it started earlier, but there were 8 some accounts that were being reinvestigated during 9 that time; so that might explain why the investigation that started later ended earlier. 10 So your understanding, from review 11 0 Okay. 12 of the ACIS case at EIS0001 through 5, that the only 13 thing that Mr. Minnick had requested be corrected or updated was the date of his birth? 14 15 That's correct. And if you look at that Α 16 maintenance sheet summary, that's it. But if you look at the maintenance sheet summary that started 17 on -- for the 0047 case, it starts on 0057 and goes 18 19 through 00066. Those were the requests at that 20 time. 2.1 So just to -- just to go back to Okay. the Plaintiff's documents, is it correct that the 22 23 Exhibit 342-01 through 342-10 is following the -- in 24 response to the online dispute of April 18th, 2004, 25 by Mr. Minnick? Page 36

1 Α No. The 341, from 1 through 10, that's --2 that's in correspondence to the 001 because, 3 remember, if you look at the dates, you know, that one was closed out on May 3rd, 2004, and then the 4 date on that letter is May 3rd, 2004. 5 So then the 342-01, you know, the date on 6 7 that letter is May 7, 2004. It corresponds with the 8 reinvestigation that is EIS0047 because it closed 9 out on May 7, 2004. 10 O And the May 7 report, ACIS case, appears to be originated from a dispute online on April 11 12 18th. 13 Yes, sir. Is it correct that the Exhibit 342-05 14 0 through 342-10 is a reflection of Equifax's database 15 as of that date for Mr. Minnick? 16 Yes. Let me just make sure that we still 17 have the same order here. Yes, because we have the 18 same date on the credit file, which is May 7th. 19 20 Turning to Exhibit 343-01 through 343-19, 0 2.1 could you verify that that is -- appears to be an actual document that originated with Equifax 22 Consumer Services' website? 23 MS. GREENSTEIN: Robert, hang on one 24 25 You said through 343-19? second. Page 37

1	MR. STEMPLER: Yes. Did you say 343-01?
2	THE WITNESS: Okay. I have yes. I
3	have 343-01, but mine ends through 343-15.
4	Do I need to look at my attorney
5	cross-eyed again?
6	MR. STEMPLER: I hope you're not doing
7	that.
8	MS. GREENSTEIN: Okay. Wait, wait, wait.
9	Here's some more. They were a different
10	production. Hang on. So okay. Hold on
11	I'm pulling out 343-16 through 343-19, which
12	were in a different production for us; so hang
13	on. I'm going to hand those to the witness.
14	THE WITNESS: Okay. I have them now.
15	BY MR. STEMPLER:
16	Q Great. Have you had the chance to look at
17	the documents from 343-01 through 343-19?
18	A Yes. I'm looking at them now. I have
19	them.
20	Q The question, again, is: Does this appear
21	to be a actual document processed by Equifax through
22	Equifax Consumer Services for Mr. Minnick, a credit
23	report dated May 10th, 2004?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q Does the do the pages 343-01 through 15 Page 38

1 appear to be an accurate representation of Equifax's 2 database for Mr. Minnick as of the report date May 3 10th, 2004? Α Yes. 4 On Page 343-16 through 343-19 is informa-5 6 tion referring to Mr. Minnick's FICO score. 7 Α Okay. I'm sorry. Maybe the phone cut 8 out. 9 But you asked me is this information 10 regarding Mr. Minnick's FICO score? 11 0 Yes. 12 It appears to be a product that Yes. 13 Mr. Minnick purchased from it looks like myFICO down here at the bottom -- or Score Power. I'm sorry. 14 15 see Score Power. Do you know if this is --16 Can you tell, from looking at these pages, 17 if this is derived from Equifax's database, if the 18 19 FICO score is based on the Equifax credit data? 20 Well, the FICO score that's purchased via Α 21 Score Power is -- it's, like, a partnership. Fair Isaac's scoring model based on the content of 22 23 the consumer's credit file in the Equifax credit 24 report. 25 So the answer to your question is yes. Page 39

So the statement on Page 343-16 that, 1 Q quote, your FICO score of 531 summarizes the 2 3 information on your Equifax credit report as of May 10th, 2004, period, close quote, that is an accurate 4 statement, as far as you know? 5 Yes, sir. 7 I'm sorry. Did you answer or did you say, 8 I need some time? 9 Oh, no. I said, yes, sir. 10 0 Okay. Thanks. Are you familiar with the interrelation-11 12 ship between myFICO.com and Equifax or Equifax Consumer Services? 13 I'm a little familiar with it, yes. 14 Is there a link, internet link, between 15 the Equifax Consumer Services' website and the 16 myFICO.com website? 17 MS. GREENSTEIN: I'm going to object just 18 to the extent that I believe this is outside 19 20 your depo Notice. But if she knows the answer, 2.1 she can answer. 22 From my understanding, there is a link. Α And that is as far as I understand. 23 24 BY MR. STEMPLER: 25 Have you ever used personally this website 0 Page 40

1 for yourself or somebody you know? 2 Α Yes. So does it appear that these pages here 3 0 are actual pages from myFICO.com? 4 5 It's familiar from what I -- I got Yes. 6 when I went online and got Score Power. 7 0 Are you familiar with the risk assessment 8 that appears on Page 343-16 concerning the score of 9 531? 10 Just from what I read when I go onto the website. 11 12 Turning now to Page 344-01 through Q 13 344-05 --14 Α Okay. One moment, please. 15 THE WITNESS: I need 344-01. 16 MS. GREENSTEIN: I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: Through 344-05, is that what 17 18 you said, Mr. Stempler? 19 MR. STEMPLER: Yes, I did. 20 Okay. I had to wake her up THE WITNESS: 21 again. Maybe I should just take the stack. 22 Okay. I'm sorry. Here I am. BY MR. STEMPLER: 23 24 First of all, does 344-01 appear to be an 25 actual page obtained from Equifax's Consumer Page 41

1	Service's website?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Do the pages that follow at 344-02 through
4	344-03 appear to be some of the pages that
5	originated at the same time that an order was placed
б	for an Equifax consumer report, as reflected on
7	344-01?
8	A Yes. I was actually going to say, it
9	looks a little bit thinner than what I had looked at
10	previously; so, yeah, it looks like some of the
11	pages.
12	Q Turning to 345-01
13	A Okay. Yes. I have that.
14	Q does that appear to be an actual page
15	of an Equifax disclosure for Mr. Minnick dated
16	August 26, 2004, other than, of course, the hand-
17	written notations and the fact that some of the
18	sections are highlighted?
19	A (Witness reviews document).
20	Yes. This is the results of a
21	reinvestigation that we sent to the consumer.
22	Q Does this result page dated August 26,
23	2004, correlate to any of the ACIS cases that were
24	produced with the document production by Equifax?
25	A Yes, sir. It correlates with 006 through

1 012. 2 Can you tell, from looking at the ACIS Q 3 case at 006 through 12, what the date of the dispute was from Mr. Minnick? 4 It's August 26, 2004. 5 Yes. And do you know the manner in which -- how 6 0 7 the dispute was lodged by Mr. Minnick? From what I could tell, it's -- it appears 8 9 to be a fax dispute or information that the consumer 10 faxed. ICT processed it. I'm sorry. I did not catch what you just 11 0 12 said. 13 ICT is what? 14 Α Oh. Processed the dispute. 15 So that leads you to conclude it was a fax 0 16 dispute? It's saying -- I tell you 17 Α Well, yeah. what concludes -- or what leads me to conclude it 18 19 was a fax dispute, was that they're saying that they 20 received bankruptcy discharge and paperwork. 21 ICT takes, you know, majority of phone calls; so, of course, they wouldn't receive that via a phone call. 22 23 So I am at this point speculating that it was a fax 24 or mail that was received by ICT. 25 So it was either fax or mail? 0 Page 43

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q And do you know where the maintenance was
3	performed on this particular ACIS case?
4	A It would be in Atlanta. It was later in
5	the year, much, much later, before DDC began
6	processing maintenance for us, if not the earlier
7	part of this year; so I believe the majority of
8	or, actually, all of the cases that we have here
9	would be processed in Atlanta.
10	Q What is your understanding of when DDC
11	began handling the maintenance-phase function?
12	A It was either if it was in 2004, it was
13	around December. If not, it was the early part of
14	the year oh, man. That's a good question. I
15	want to say March or April. I believe it was closer
16	to March or April of 2005. I don't think it was any
17	of 2004. Well, I could be wrong. I'm sorry. I
18	need to research that date, but, anyway
19	Q Okay. Was a consumer report provided in
20	connection with the August 26th disclosure? Can you
21	tell from the ACIS cases?
22	A No. At that time, we would send the
23	consumer the results, a confirmation letter of
24	explaining the results of their reinvestigation,
25	along with how the trade line looked, a report of $$\operatorname{\textit{Page}}$44$$

1 how the trade line looked that they were disputing. 2 So the only thing that was provided on the Q 3 August 26th disclosure to the consumer was the one 4 item that was disputed? Would that be --5 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I'm having a bit of a problem hearing you on here. I'm the 7 court reporter. THE WITNESS: No. Your phone cut -- the 9 phone cut off. Okay. 10 COURT REPORTER: Could you just restate the question? 11 12 BY MR. STEMPLER: 13 So the only item provided with the disclosure dated August 26, 2004, was the item that 14 was disputed, being CBUSA/Sears? 15 16 Α Yes. Please take a look at Exhibit 346-01 and 17 Q 18 2. 19 Does that appear to be an actual document 20 prepared by Equifax for Mr. Minnick as of September 21 9th, 2004? 22 Α Yes, sir. I said yes. 23 Does this relate --Q 24 Α I don't -- okay. 25 Q I'm sorry? Page 45

1 Α No. I was just going to say, I said yes. 2 I don't know if my phone cut off. 3 Does this appear to be based on an ACIS --0 or reflected in an ACIS that was produced by 4 Equifax? 5 Α Yes. Which one, please? 7 Α 31 through 38. 9 And can you tell, from looking at the ACIS 10 case, when the dispute was received and the manner in which the dispute was made to Equifax? 11 12 (Witness reviews document). I believe it was in the same manner as the 13 14 previous case, where that we either have -- probably 15 fax. It was processed by ICT. As to the previous one, you said it could 16 either be fax or mail? 17 And that stands true, too, but I believe 18 Α 19 that it's probably fax. 20 And the date received seems to be 0 2.1 indicated on EIS0037 as 8/25/2004? 22 Yes, sir. Α 23 Is it correct that the maintenance phase 24 was performed by Equifax in Atlanta? 25 Yes. Α Page 46

1	Q Exhibit 347-01 through 02, other than the
2	handwritten notations and additional highlighting
3	that are apparent, does this appear to be a genuine
4	document prepared by Equifax from Mr. Minnick's
5	credit file dated September 14th, 2004?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And to which, if any, ACIS case does this
8	refer in the documents produced by Equifax?
9	A 39 through 46.
10	Q Could you please tell me, from your review
11	of the documents, particularly the ACIS case, when
12	and the manner in which Equifax received the dispute
13	from the consumer?
14	A It looks as though it was September 14th,
15	2004, processed by ICT, either mail or fax; but,
16	there again, I believe it was via fax.
17	Q And, again, the maintenance was done most
18	likely or probably in Atlanta?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	Q Turning to page or Exhibit 348-01
21	through 348-06, does that appear
22	COURT REPORTER: Mr. Stempler
23	MR. STEMPLER: I'm sorry?
24	COURT REPORTER: I hate to interrupt
25	again, but there do you have the handset up Page 47

1	to your ear or because it seems like you're
2	fading away or something, and I'm having a hard
3	time hearing.
4	Are y'all having a hard time hearing?
5	MR. STEMPLER: Are you having a hard time
6	hearing?
7	MS. GREENSTEIN: Just the beginning of
8	some of your sentences.
9	COURT REPORTER: Yeah. I mean, it seems
10	like there's some problem. You get louder, but
11	at first it's kind of faint.
12	MR. STEMPLER: I'll speak up.
13	COURT REPORTER: Sorry to have to
14	interrupt, but I want to make sure I get an
15	accurate transcript.
16	MR. STEMPLER: I would like an accurate
17	transcript. If you can't hear anything, let me
18	know; and I'll speak up. Perhaps, I was
19	getting lower in voice. I apologize.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay. You asked about 348
21	through or zero dash excuse me01
22	through 06?
23	BY MR. STEMPLER:
24	Q Correct.
25	A Okay. I have that. Page 48
	- 161

1 Does that appear to be an actual document Q prepared by Equifax through Equifax Consumer 2 3 Services' website? Α Yes, sir. 4 And is this document, as you can -- as you 5 0 6 view it, does it appear to be an accurate -- a reflection of the Equifax database for Mr. Minnick 7 as of October 9th, 2004? 8 9 Α Yes. Exhibit 349-01 through 349-14, does that 10 appear to be an actual document that was prepared by 11 12 Equifax for Paul C. Minnick as of October 22nd, 2004? 13 14 Yes, sir. This appears to be a 15 disclosure. And do you believe that to be an accurate 16 reflection of Mr. Minnick's credit file of Equifax 17 18 at the time? 19 Yes, sir. Α 20 Page 350, or Exhibit 350, through --0 21 Α Okay. 22 -- 350-04, 350-01 through 350-04. 0 23 Okay. One moment, please. Okay. Α 24 that. 25 Would you please explain what this 0 Page 49

1	document is.
2	A It appears to be confirmation of Equifax
3	adding a fraud alert to the consumer's credit file,
4	along with a summary
5	Q Is this your
6	A I'm sorry along with the summary of
7	rights.
8	Q Does it so it appears that this is a
9	document that originated with Equifax and was sent
10	to Mr. Minnick?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q Next is 351 Exhibit 351-01 through 16.
13	MS. GREENSTEIN: 351-01 through 06?
14	MR. STEMPLER: Yes.
15	MS. GREENSTEIN: Okay. That's
16	Do you intend to cut a document off half
17	way? It appears to go through
18	MR. STEMPLER: 16.
19	MS. GREENSTEIN: 16. I'm sorry. Thank
20	you.
21	BY MR. STEMPLER:
22	Q Other than the cover letter by Kilpatrick
23	Stockton, which is on pages 01 and 02, do the
24	documents starting at 351-03 through 351-16 appear
25	to be an actual credit report for Mr. Minnick dated Page 50

1 March 8th, 2005, prepared by Equifax? 2 Yes, sir. Α Can you tell, from looking at this, if 3 0 this is in response to an investigation request? 4 This is a disclosure copy. If it was 5 6 in response to a reinvestigation request, it 7 would -- the cover letter would read thank you -- or 8 please find enclosed the results of your investiga-9 tion. 10 0 Can you tell, from looking at this or looking at the cover letter that was included at 11 12 351-02, how this Equifax credit report -- why this 13 credit report was prepared for Mr. Minnick? (Witness reviews document). 14 15 Well, evidently, at this particular point in time, we received notice of a lawsuit. And it's 16 our attorney's attempt to -- along with Equifax, to 17 get a copy or -- to the consumer so we can try and 18 resolve the issues that were brought forth during 19 20 the lawsuit. 2.1 Do you know if an ACIS case would have been generated in connection with either the lawsuit 22 23 or this credit report? 24 I don't recall seeing an ACIS case during 25 this time in my research. Page 51

1	Q Do you have a record of all the ACIS cases
2	for Mr. Minnick since January 1st, 2004?
3	A Yes, and they would they would show on
4	the disclosure copies.
5	Q So is it correct that all of the ACIS
6	cases are appearing at EIS0001 through EIS0066?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q That's the only ACIS cases prepared by
9	Equifax, are for responses that were generated on
10	May 3rd and May 7th, 2004, August 26, 2004,
11	September 9th and September 14th, 2004?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Exhibit 352-01.
14	A Okay. One moment, please. Okay. I have
15	that.
16	Q Do you know if Equifax received this fax
17	approximately August 24th, 2004?
18	A It may be in reference to some of those
19	ACIS cases that we've seen.
20	MR. DONSBACH: This is Paul Donsbach.
21	Can you read the question back?
22	(Thereupon, the designated
23	portion was read back by the
24	court reporter.)
25	MR. DONSBACH: Thank you.  Page 52
	1 450 52

- 1 A Yeah. I believe, if I'm not mistaken,
  2 that it may be in correspondence to the ACIS case in
- 3 the documents 0031 through 0038.
- 4 BY MR. STEMPLER:
- 5 Q Does Equifax retain faxes that are
- 6 received concerning a reinvestigation from a
- 7 consumer?
- 8 A Yes. That would be retained -- I believe
- 9 it was processed by ICT and sent to ICT. We should
- 10 have a copy of that. Yes, sir.
- 11 O Do you know if your attorneys were given a
- 12 copy of the documents that received -- if documents
- 13 were received by fax, from Mr. Minnick dated August
- 14 24th, 2004?
- 15 A I don't believe they were. To be honest,
- 16 I just realized that these were fax disputes. When
- 17 I saw ICT, I assumed they were phone. So I don't
- 18 know -- and I'll have to take the brunt of this. I
- 19 don't know if my office has requested that fax from
- 20 ICT.
- 21 O Let's talk about Exhibit 353-01.
- 22 Can you tell, either from looking at the
- 23 ACIS cases or other documents that you may have, was
- 24 this document received by Equifax on approximately
- 25 August 26, 2004?

1	A Okay. One moment.
2	(Witness reviews documents).
3	Okay. What I'm doing is it's probably
4	going to take me a second. I have to go back
5	through these documents that we were looking at
6	earlier because I'm going back through. I don't
7	know if you remember when I was saying that, you
8	know, it was probably mail or fax. But seeing these
9	faxes is definitely confirming my initial reaction,
10	was it was fax; so I've got to match up these faxes
11	to these previous ACIS cases.
12	Q Take your time.
13	A Okay. Okay. What I believe has happened
14	here is, if you look at 0037, they're making
15	reference to receiving I believe that says same
16	Nelnet's fax.
17	I have three dates within this ACIS case.
18	I have the August 25th, and then I have another
19	reference to a fax received on August 26, and then I
20	have another fax in reference or I have another
21	date in reference to a fax received on August 27th.
22	So within this case, I have quite a few
23	reference to faxes that were received or documents
24	that were received. It's not saying faxes well,
25	some do say faxes, but, anyway Page 54
	Tugo 5 i

1	Q So it appears to you that there was a call
2	or there was a contact, either fax or mail, on
3	August 25th, and then there was a subsequent contact
4	or fax on August 26th?
5	A Yes. If you look at I don't know if
6	you're looking at 0037 or not. But if you go down
7	to where you see the consumer's address, there are
8	some notes there. And if you look to the right,
9	that's when the dates are recorded for those notes.
10	Q Yes. I see what you're talking about.
11	Under the comments?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q Below his address?
14	A Yes, sir. So I have three different dates
15	in which they're making reference to receiving
16	documents.
17	Q Have you ever heard of a part of Equifax
18	called Team 2? It's referenced on Exhibit 353-01.
19	A Yes. I do know that our customer-service
20	teams break up or break up into teams so that
21	when the consumer makes reference to speaking to
22	someone or the consumer wants to have the agent
23	identified of who they spoke to, they'll say, you
24	know, I'm on Sherry Team 2 or I'm on Alicia Team 4
25	so that if there was any question, they know who to $$\operatorname{Page}55$$

1 go to. So in this particular instance, the team 2 0 3 leader is referenced; so it would be -- they would 4 have said it was Sherry at Team 2. That wouldn't have been the individual 5 6 customer-service representative? It could have been or it could have been 7 Α 8 the team leader, if, you know, the team leader took 9 the call or came in on the call. 10 O Do you recognize the fax number (866) -or do you recognize the number as being a fax for 11 12 Equifax, (866) 244-1477, which appears at the top of Exhibit 353-01? 13 To be honest, we have a couple of fax 14 I don't have them committed but -- to 15 numbers. 16 memory, but I would assume that it is a Equifax fax I shouldn't, but I don't --17 number. Would you recognize also as a fax number 18 0 19 the one that appears in the line for fax on this 20 cover sheet of (866) 491-0294? 2.1 I'd better double check. I really -- I'm 22 sorry. I just don't have those fax numbers committed to memory. They change, and they 23 24 certainly have changed since 2004 so --25 Q Turning to page -- Exhibit 354-01. Page 56

1	A Okay.
2	Q Is there a way for you to tell if this fax
3	was received by Equifax from Mr. Minnick?
4	A (Witness reviews documents).
5	This has a lot of the same information
6	from the previous faxes that we received. Actually,
7	it looks like the same letter.
8	Q It is very similar.
9	A Yeah. It's very similar. The only thing
10	I can say is, I see the notes that reference to the
11	same things that this particular letter says, which
12	leads me to believe that we did receive at least
13	one, if not both, of them.
14	MR. STEMPLER: Could we go off the record
15	for a moment?
16	MS. GREENSTEIN: Sure.
17	(Whereupon, there was a recess
18	in the deposition.)
19	BY MR. STEMPLER:
20	Q Turning, please, to page or Exhibit
21	355-01.
22	A Okay.
23	Q It's a fax dated August 27th.
24	Do you know if Equifax received that on or
25	about August 27th? Page 57
1	8.5

1 One moment, please. Let's see here. Α I'm I put the documents down when I went to the 2 3 restroom, and I've got to pick them back up. right. Here we go. 4 5 (Witness reviews document). Did the consumer fax it twice? You said did the consumer fax what? 7 8 I was just making mention to -- well, 9 Amy had given me all the other ones; so I was looking -- kind of looking ahead. I saw the result 10 as being an error on 355-02. 11 12 Yeah. Maybe there was a problem. 13 Do you see that number that appears on 355-01 as a confirmation number? 14 15 Uh-huh, yes. I see it. Α 16 Does that number relate to any of the ACIS case numbers that have been produced by Equifax or 17 some other number? 18 19 Hold on. Let me try and find the best way Α to answer that. Now, it could be also a disclosure 20 2.1 number that the consumer got on the credit file -on the -- on the internet because they have numbers, 22 23 as well. 24 I don't at this time see a ACIS case number that relates to that, but I bet if I  $\operatorname{\mathsf{I}}$  -- I 25 Page 58

1 might -- I don't know, if I'd go back and look at 2 the credit reports, if I'd see that same number. I 3 don't see a ACIS case number. Okay. Was there another question on the 4 table that I didn't answer? 5 6 0 No. 7 Α Okay. 8 I was just seeing if I could find that 9 number, but it probably will take too long. Exhibit 356-01, there is an attachment 10 referring to an error in transmission. 11 12 But can you tell me, from looking at the 13 ACIS case, whether Equifax received this fax? 14 Α Okay. Let's see. I'm looking at that 15 same ACIS case. And I see on August 27th, they said they received the same Nelnet fax. And then on 16 September 8th, 2004, they're saying something about 17 receiving cover page NND. That's all I see right 18 there in reference to the faxes. Hold on. 19 20 And we also talked about, on 0006 through 2.1 00012, they received the -- what I thought was a fax at the time we first discussed this case number --22 23 or -- on August 26. 24 So it's hard to know specifically, 25 assuming that the fax was re-sent by Mr. Minnick, Page 59

1 which of these faxes around August 26 and August 2 27th relate to the ACIS cases? 3 Α Yes. But I will tell you this, though, because I really just -- it just clicked today that 4 these are faxes and not phone calls. And it truly 5 is my fault. But I can immediately, you know, have 7 my office request these from ICT to know exactly what they received. 9 That would be appreciated if --10 MS. GREENSTEIN: We will, of course, 11 produce them once we receive them from her office. 12 13 THE WITNESS: I truly believe this was my 14 fault. I'm sorry. 15 BY MR. STEMPLER: 16 Thank you. Turning to Exhibit 357-01, a September 9th fax, can you tell from the ACIS cases 17 whether Equifax received this cover sheet and the 18 associated documents? 19 20 One moment. Α Yes. 2.1 (Witness reviews documents). 22 Now, I believe this one is a result of the 23 ACIS case 0039 through 0046. 24 Even though the fax is dated September 9th and the ACIS case seems to indicate a dispute of 25 Page 60

1	September 14th?
2	A Yes, a five-day processing. That's right.
3	Q Let's go back, please, to Exhibit 337-04.
4	A I have it. Okay. Yes. I have that.
5	Q Do you see the references to Nelnet LNS?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And in the column to the the next-to-
8	the-last column from the right, do you see it says,
9	for each of those Nelnet accounts, 120-plus days
10	past due?
11	A Yes. I see that.
12	Q Looking at the heading, which appears at
13	the bottom of Page 337-03, does it seem correct to
14	you, in reviewing these documents, that the column
15	in which 120-plus days past due is the account
16	status column?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q What would be the source of that account
19	status field?
20	A That would be information received from
21	the data furnisher.
22	Q In this case, Nelnet; correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Is there any way that Equifax would have
25	added that notation to these trade lines had Nelnet $$\operatorname{Page}\ 61$$

1	not provided the information to Equifax?
2	A No. I can't think of a circumstance in
3	which we would.
4	Q What about the column two columns to
5	the left of that, which refers to 02/2002?
6	Is that the date reported by Nelnet of
7	those accounts?
8	A For some reason, I don't see that.
9	Q See the column with 120-plus days past
10	due?
11	A Okay. Yes, sir. I'm sorry. I do see
12	that now.
13	That is the date reported.
14	Q So is it correct that that was the last
15	time that Nelnet provided the information about this
16	account to Equifax?
17	A Well, yes, as of the as of the date of
18	that report, yes.
19	Q Turning to pages 337-06 at the bottom,
20	which seems to continue on to the top of 337-07.
21	Does that appear to be a more complete
22	representation of one of the Nelnet accounts
23	reported by Nelnet concerning Paul Minnick?
24	A Yes. If I'm not mistaken, what you can do
25	on the internet is expand the trade line and get
25	on the internet is expand the trade line and get

1 more information. And it appears as though that's 2 what's been done here. 3 So online the consumer has several 0 options, one of which is the columnar format that 4 appears on 337-03 through 04 or a more detailed 5 6 format, which starts on 337-05 and continues on? 7 Α Yes. That's what it appears here, yes. Is the information the same with respect 9 to the -- the account status, that these four 10 accounts reported by Nelnet Loan Services is 120-plus days past due? 11 12 Yes. That's the same. 13 There's also a box on pages 337-07 and 337-08 for the high credit of these Nelnet accounts. 14 15 Do you see that box? 16 Yes. Α 17 Do you know what information is provided when Equifax reports the box for high credit? 18 19 Normally, for an installment allow -- an Α 20 installment account, it is the loan amount. 2.1 And, also, that information has with 22 the -- the account status field originated with 23 Nelnet at the time -- correct -- or, actually, from 24 February 2002? 25 Α I'm sorry. I didn't follow that. Page 63

1 Is it correct that this -- that Q 2 information originated from Nelnet from February 3 2002? I'm not sure when it originated, but I'm 4 showing the date reported as February of 2002, the 5 6 last date reported. How does that relate to the last 7 information --8 9 Well, how does that relate to this 10 particular account reporting by Nelnet, that field 11 of February 2002? 12 I mean, that field represents the last time we received information from the data 13 furnisher. 14 Regarding this account? 15 Regarding any account. I'm just going 16 over the date reporting; but, yes. 17 Well, I mean, isn't it possible that 18 0 19 Nelnet has reported other account information for 20 other consumers since February 2002? 2.1 MR. DONSBACH: Objection, calls for speculation. This is Paul Donsbach. 22 23 Well, I guess I should go back to the 24 previous question, when you're saying for that 25 account. Page 64

1 When we're looking at a specific trade 2 line, then, yes, the answer is yes. For that 3 account, that is the last date that we received 4 information. BY MR. STEMPLER: 5 Going to Page 338 --0 7 Α You mean Page 8? 8 No, 338 --9 Oh, okay. I'm sorry. That's a whole 10 nother document now. Sorry. 11 Oh, I have it. THE WITNESS: 12 MS. GREENSTEIN: You do have it? 13 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 14 Α Okay. I'm sorry. I have it. 15 BY MR. STEMPLER: I'm trying to find the Nelnet accounts 16 that were disputed by Mr. Minnick on these pages. 17 I believe that the Nelnet accounts start on 18 Okay. 19 Page 338-06 at the bottom -- you see the -- it has 20 student loan assigned to government -- and continues 21 on through Page 338-08. The account number was 22 redacted because it includes a -- includes a Social 23 Security number as part of the account number. 24 For some reason, the credit-grantor box 25 does not appear to be filled in on the printout that Page 65

1	Mr. Minnick obtained.
2	Does it appear that these are the Nelnet
3	accounts that were reported on the credit report
4	that we just saw at 337?
5	MR. DONSBACH: Objection, calls for
6	speculation.
7	A Let me get that out. Okay?
8	(Witness reviews document).
9	Well, to be really honest, since the
10	account numbers have been redacted, it's really kind
11	of hard to tell. The only thing that I can match up
12	are the comments that the consumer made in the
13	boxes.
14	BY MR. STEMPLER:
15	Q Can you find those comments appearing on
16	any of the ACIS cases that have been produced by
17	Equifax?
18	A I'm looking at 0062. And starting with
19	the Nelnet loan, at the very bottom of that block of
20	information, it says FCRA comments. And it just
21	matches what's here for the student loan assigned to
22	government. That's all I could match it up to.
23	Q Okay. So looking at the ACIS case for May
24	7th, 2004, are the Nelnet disputes reflected on the
25	bottom of Page EIS0062 and going to the top of

1	EIS0065?
2	A Yes.
3	Q At the bottom of 0062, where it says FCRA
4	comments, quote, I have all documentation on this
5	loan from inception to payoff. I have never been
6	late on this loan period. Records available upon
7	request, period, close quote.
8	Is that what Mr. Minnick entered into the
9	Equifax dispute the online dispute of the form?
10	A Well, I see part of that on Page 338-07
11	through 08, and that is what the consumer does enter
12	in.
13	Q That appears to be the same comments at
14	the bottom of EIS0062 for Nelnet's account and on
15	0063 for the Nelnet account there and 0064 for that
16	Nelnet account and 0065, or the top part of that,
17	for the Nelnet account; is that correct?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q Is that comment directly communicated to
20	Nelnet once the consumer is complete making their
21	disputes online?
22	MR. DONSBACH: Can you read that back,
23	please.
24	(Thereupon, the designated
25	portion was read back by the Page 67

1	court reporter.)
2	MR. DONSBACH: Objection, vague and
3	ambiguous, unintelligible.
4	A The FCRA comments that are a part of the
5	dispute are also part of the consumer-dispute
6	verification form. They're there under the FCRA
7	relevant comments section.
8	MR. STEMPLER: Move to strike as
9	nonresponsive.
10	BY MR. STEMPLER:
11	Q I wanted to find out if the information in
12	that that appears as FCRA comments was
13	communicated directly to Nelnet as part of the
14	reinvestigation process of these four accounts?
15	A Yes. My previous answer stated so, yes.
16	Q And can you tell, from reading, how Nelnet
17	responded on the first trade line that appears
18	well, the disputed account on EIS0062?
19	A Verified as reported.
20	Q So is it correct, from your reading of the
21	ACRO maintenance transaction summary, EIS
22	EIS0062, that Nelnet verified this individual
23	account as being accurately reported with 120-plus
24	days late?
25	MR. DONSBACH: Objection, no foundation Page 68

1 and calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Do I answer? 2 3 MS. GREENSTEIN: Yeah --THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. 4 MS. GREENSTEIN: -- if you can. 5 Well, the response to the reinvestigation 7 request was that it was verified correct as 8 reported. 9 BY MR. STEMPLER: Did Nelnet verify the account as 120-plus 10 0 days late on or about April 26, 2004? 11 12 Yes. That status was verified. 13 Q Turning your attention, please, to 14 EIS0063. Well, let's go back one more time to 15 EIS0062. 16 Can you tell the account number of the 17 Nelnet loan appearing on that page? 18 Α 5639285. 19 Is there any way to tell what the last 20 digits are, because there are some digits at the end 2.1 which -- that's part of Mr. Minnick's Social Security number, and the last digit varies for the 22 account number. 23 24 Is there any way to tell what the last 25 digits are? Page 69

1 Α (Witness reviews documents). Okay. This account number is on Page 50, 2 3 0050, 5632 -- excuse me -- 56392856103. 4 Okay. So it's -- this account appears, as you understand it, at the bottom of EIS0049 and 5 6 continuing at the top of EIS0050? 7 Α Yes. 8 And it's the one -- the account with the 9 digit ending in 03 -- or the two digits ending in 03; correct? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 It appears also -- is that correct -- that 13 the original balance reported by Nelnet is the same, 11,728; is that correct? 14 15 Α Yes. Turning to the next page, EIS0063, and the 16 Nelnet account reported there, is it correct that 17 the account reported on this page by Nelnet has an 18 original balance of \$10,000? 19 20 Α Yes. 2.1 Does it also correlate to the account 0 22 reported or appearing on EIS0050 and ending with 01 23 at the top of that page or towards the top of that 24 page? 25 Α Yes. Page 70

1 How did Nelnet respond to the verification Q 2 request with respect to this account, which I will 3 call 01? Α Verified as reported. 4 So is it correct that Nelnet verified on 5 0 6 April 26, 2004, that this account 01 was correctly reported with 120-plus days late? 7 Α Yes. 9 Do you know, with respect to account 01, the manner used by Nelnet to respond to the 10 reinvestigation request? 11 12 MR. DONSBACH: Objection, calls for 13 speculation, no foundation. 14 Α E-OSCAR. It was a automated E-OSCAR 15 response. BY MR. STEMPLER: 16 How can you tell that from looking at any 17 of these documents, that it was using E-OSCAR? 18 19 Α The log-in that's next to the response is 20 EOS, and that's a automated response. 2.1 Which page are you actually viewing when 0 you say -- oh, I see. 22 23 Is it EOS on Page EIS0063? 24 Yes. The comment next to that date of 4/26/0425 Q Page 71

1 and the EOS is VER correct as reported. 2 Does that mean verified correct --3 correctly as reported? Α Yes. 4 What is the comment directly above that 5 line VER correct as reported? What is that informa-7 tion? That is the comment that was sent as to 9 what the consumer was disputing. 10 0 Is that -- was that comment forwarded to 11 Nelnet? 12 Α Yes. 13 Q Was it forwarded to Nelnet on April 18th, 2004? 14 15 Α Yes. Was it forwarded in addition to the FCRA 16 17 comments that appear on that page? Α 18 Yes. 19 Who typed in or controls that comment, 20 quote, disputes current/prev status/M, as in Mary, O 21 P, as in Peter, space RAT./PAYM pattern, close 22 quote? Who entered that information? 23 24 When the consumer checked off, I have 25 never paid this account late, that is what was Page 72

1	translated to the credit grantor.
2	Q Is that done automatedly (sic) or is that
3	done by somebody at Equifax or one of its
4	contractors?
5	A No. It's done in an automated fashion.
6	Q So it translates portions of the FCRA
7	comments into these other comments and also go to
8	the furnisher along with the FCRA comments?
9	A Well, it will it won't translate the
10	comments. It will transmit the comments that the
11	consumer free-forms in directly. And then as the
12	consumer is checking off one of the boxes, it will
13	translate it into a standardized dispute.
14	Q Oh. So these refer, going back to our
15	Exhibit 338-07, to a box that was checked on the
16	online form?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q So Mr. Minnick checked the box that says,
19	I have never paid this account late, and that's how
20	that is forwarded to that's how that comment is
21	forwarded to Nelnet?
22	A Yes, sir.
23	Q Looking at EIS0064 and we're going to
24	break in a few minutes because I know it's almost
25	noon your time this is a Nelnet loan that had Page 73

1 been reported with an original balance of 6,722. 2 Can you tell, from looking at the other 3 pages of this ACIS case, which account number that 4 is? 5 You want me to give the full account 6 number or the last three? 7 It is the last two digits, actually. Okay. 8 Α 04. 9 And after receiving the verification request from Equifax, how did Nelnet respond to 10 11 this -- to Nelnet account 04? 12 They enter it was verified correct as Α 13 reported. So is it correct that it verified that 04 14 15 was correctly reported having a 120-day-plus latepayment history? 16 17 Yes, sir. Α 18 And their response -- the response from 0 19 Nelnet was received by Equifax on April 26th, 2004? 20 Α Yes. 21 I just have one more question, and we'll 22 conclude for the morning. 23 Turning to Page EIS0065, that's the Nelnet 24 loan having an additional balance of 8,500 -- is that correct -- reporting as having --25 Page 74

1 Α Well, I was going to say, that's not the 2 balance spot. That's the high-credit loan amount. 3 0 Original amount? Yes, sir, 8,500. 4 Α Following the verification request from 5 6 Equifax to Nelnet, how did Nelnet respond to 7 Equifax? It's verified as reported. 9 So is it correct that Nelnet verified to Equifax that the prior paying history of 120-days-10 11 plus late was accurate for account 02? 12 Yes. Α 13 It says on EIS0065, I-5 with respect to the Nelnet loan. 14 15 Do you see that? 16 Α Yes. What does that I-5 indicate to you? 17 0 The I is installment, and the five is --18 Α 19 refers to 120 days past due. 20 Is that on a scale, such as, zero through 0 2.1 Zero through ten? One through -nine? 22 Α Yes, yes. What is the scale? 23 24 I was going to say sort of, but it doesn't 25 go through ten. Page 75

1 What is the top of the scale as far as O 2 best for a particular account such as an installment 3 account? Α An installment account, the best would be 4 paid as agreed, which would be one. 5 And what is the worst? The worst would be, as some consider, it 7 Α would be charged off, which would be a nine. 8 9 And this is in the middle because it's 120-days-plus late but not a charge-off or a 10 11 collection account; is that correct? 12 Well, a five can be considered as a 13 collection account. 14 MR. STEMPLER: Okay. Well, why don't we break at this juncture and reconvene at 1:00 15 o'clock Atlanta time. 16 17 MS. GREENSTEIN: Okay. 18 (Whereupon, there was a lunch 19 recess in the deposition.) 2.0 BY MR. STEMPLER: 2.1 Okay. Ms. Fluellen, let's turn to Exhibit 0 22 341-06. Okay. One moment. Let me refamiliarize 23 24 myself with the order of this --25 MS. GREENSTEIN: Is that something we've Page 76

```
1
           looked at previously?
 2
                MR. STEMPLER: No.
 3
                MS. GREENSTEIN: Oh, okay.
 4
                THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
 5
                MR. STEMPLER: I mean, we looked at it
           this morning.
 7
                MS. GREENSTEIN: Yeah.
                                         That's what I
           mean, because we have that stuff in a different
9
           pile.
10
                MR. STEMPLER: Okay. It was looked at --
11
           it was reviewed this morning.
12
                THE WITNESS: Okay. I have it.
13
      BY MR. STEMPLER:
14
                You have Page 341-06?
15
           Α
                Yes, sir.
                And is it correct that that is the
16
      sixth -- the sixth page of an eight-page consumer
17
      report prepared by Equifax for Paul C. Minnick, Jr.,
18
      dated May 3rd, 2004?
19
20
           Α
                Yes.
21
                Do you see on the Nelnet trade lines where
           0
22
      it says additional information in bold?
23
           Α
                Yes.
24
                After the -- well, I said bold.
25
      upper case.
                                                           Page 77
```

1	And after the upper-case additional
2	information, it then says, consumer disputes,
3	hyphen, reinvestigation in process.
4	What is the source of that additional
5	information?
6	A That would be Equifax. I believe during
7	this time period, we had a couple of reinvestiga-
8	tions going on at the same time. I believe the one
9	that this may be reference to is the one that
10	started on April 18th, 2004.
11	Q So other than that additional information
12	and the information that follows, the comment that
13	follows, as to the four Nelnet accounts that appear
14	on Exhibit 341-06, is the source of all the all
15	of the other information, Nelnet Loan Services?
16	A Yes.
17	Q So just to verify, as to each of those
18	accounts, it says current status has been over 120
19	days past due.
20	Is that is that information provided by
21	Nelnet Loan Services?
22	A Yes.
23	Q In the times that you've had your
24	deposition taken for Equifax, have any of those
25	cases, as you can recall, involved Nelnet Loan Page 78

1 Services or any organization named Nelnet? 2 MS. GREENSTEIN: Objection. 3 MR. DONSBACH: Objection, beyond the scope of discovery, not reasonably calculated. 4 MS. GREENSTEIN: And I believe beyond the 5 scope of the 30(b)(6) Notice. 7 Α Not that I can recall. BY MR. STEMPLER: 8 Turning now to Exhibit 342, it says on --9 Q 10 Α Oh, wait a minute. I'm sorry. I don't 11 have it. 12 MS. GREENSTEIN: We're looking for it. 13 MR. STEMPLER: 342. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. I have it now. 15 BY MR. STEMPLER: It references on Page 342-02 four trade 16 lines referenced as UNIPAC at 3015 S. -- which 17 probably stands for South -- Parker Road, Aurora, 18 Colorado, 80014-2904. 19 20 Do you know if that is the same company as 2.1 Nelnet? 22 MR. DONSBACH: Objection, no foundation, 23 calls for speculation. 24 I don't have firsthand knowledge of that, 25 no. Page 79

1	BY MR. STEMPLER:
2	Q Do you know what the subscriber number is
3	for the company called UNIPAC?
4	A No.
5	Q Would that be on any of the ACIS cases
6	that may relate to this credit report from Equifax
7	dated May 7th, 2004, concerning Atlanta?
8	A No.
9	Q So the subscriber number does not appear
10	anywhere on EIS0047 through EIS0066?
11	A No, it doesn't.
12	Q Turning again to 342-07 and -08.
13	A One moment, please. Okay. I have that.
14	Q In reporting accounts that have late pays
15	or collection in their in their trade line, is
16	there a way that Equifax, in the consumer report,
17	shows that separately from the other accounts, other
18	than just reporting that information in the trade
19	line?
20	A I'm sorry. I'm not following that
21	question.
22	Q Well, for example, in other credit reports
23	by other bureaus, such as, Experian and I believe
24	also TransUnion, they have a separate way of
25	indicating items with a native connotation, such as, Page $80$

1	late pays or collection accounts. They show them,
2	perhaps, in a separate section or they distinguish
3	them some way.
4	Does Equifax have a similar characteriza-
5	tion of such accounts on the credit report?
6	A If I recall correctly, on the Equifax
7	Consumer Services' website, they are categorized
8	according to certain categories.
9	Q What categories do you recall? I mean, if
10	you want to look back at the Equifax report that
11	Mr. Minnick obtained from Equifax Consumer Services,
12	such as, the one at 337
13	A (Witness reviews document).
14	Well, I'm looking at 337-13. And they're
15	categorized as open accounts, closed accounts. And
16	it goes so on and so forth through 15, where they
17	have accounts categorized in certain categories.
18	Q But a closed account under this
19	categorization may also include accounts that were
20	closed without any adverse indications; correct?
21	A It looks as though they have both types of
22	accounts, that were paid as agreed and charged off
23	as closed.
24	Q So getting back to Exhibit 342-07, please
25	take a look at the first the first Nelnet account Page 81

1 which appears on that page. And the distinction 2 is -- the one that I want you to see is the one that 3 had a high-credit balance of 11,728. That's the first account? 4 5 Yes. I don't know if it's account one or not, 7 but that's just the first one appearing on this 8 page. 9 Α Okay. 10 0 The current status indicated is over 120 11 days past due. 12 Is that information reported by Nelnet? 13 Α Yes. And then there's additional information 14 0 15 which says -- there's an additional-information area which then says, student loan assigned to 16 government, semicolon, account transferred or sold, 17 18 semicolon. 19 Do you know what the source of that 20 additional-information field is? 2.1 That would be provided by the data 22 furnisher, by the credit grantor. So in this particular account instance, 23 0 24 that would be Nelnet Loan Services? 25 Yes. Α Page 82

1 0 Is the same true for the next account on 2 that page, which had a high-credit balance of 3 \$10,000? Α Yes. 4 Turning now to Page 342-08. 5 0 Α Okay. 7 Looking at the first account on that page, which is the Nelnet Loan Services high-credit 9 balance of 6,722. 10 Who provided the information that says, current status, over 120 days past due? 11 12 MR. DONSBACH: Objection, no foundation, 13 calls for speculation. 14 The current status is provided by the data furnisher. 15 BY MR. STEMPLER: 16 In this case, that would be Nelnet Loan 17 Services; correct? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 There's a field there which says type of 0 2.1 loan and then the field that's filled in with 22 education loan. 23 How come the -- that particular field 24 doesn't appear on the loans on the preceding page 25 for Nelnet? Page 83

1	A That information wasn't provided for those
2	loans.
3	Q And at the end of that account that we're
4	viewing at the top of Page 342-08, there's
5	additional information which says, account closed at
6	consumer's request, semicolon, collection account,
7	semicolon, charge-off, hyphen, check presented was
8	uncollectible, semicolon.
9	What is the source of that additional
10	information on this trade line?
11	A From what I could tell, the reports that
12	were entered or the reinvestigation that was
13	completed during that time, that was a E-OSCAR
14	response. And I just want to double check that that
15	was provided via E-OSCAR one moment, please
16	from the credit grantor.
17	(Witness reviews documents).
18	Q What does it mean in that box for that
19	account where there's a one under months reviewed?
20	I believe that's what the words mean, MNTHS REVD.
21	A The months reviewed is technically meant
22	or defined as the number of accounts or the
23	number of times an account was reviewed for late-
24	payment history, but the one there is information
25	that's provided from the data source.

1 On the previous -- loans on the previous Q 2 page, 342-07, the two Nelnet Loan Service accounts 3 that appear on that page say months reviewed, 58. 4 And the other one says months reviewed, 70. Do you see those? 5 I do see that. As to those two loans, how would you 7 8 interpret those two --9 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. What was the 10 last thing? 11 BY MR. STEMPLER: 12 How would you interpret those two fields? 13 I mean, as the number of months that were 14 reviewed. 15 Meaning what? 0 16 I guess Nelnet would have to be the one to I don't know. 17 explain that. There isn't a -- there isn't a standard 18 0 19 meaning for that particular field? 20 That's what I explained earlier. Α Right. 2.1 I guess I didn't quite understand the 0 22 prior explanation. The technical definition of months 23 Yes. 24 reviewed would be the number of times that the 25 credit grantor reviewed the account for late Page 85

1 payments, if that's the definition that Nelnet used. 2 I mean, I don't know. But that's what it means, and 3 we see 58 here. So the meaning, as you understand it, 4 technical meaning for that field, is the number of 5 6 months that somebody paid late? 7 Α No, no, that the -- that the credit grantor reviewed the account. 8 9 So would it be the number of months that a 10 person had an opportunity to make payments on a particular account? 11 12 It doesn't have anything to do with the payment history. It's the number of times the 13 14 credit grantor reviewed the account. It would be an internal thing for the credit grantor. 15 Okay. So if on the next page it means --16 it says one month reviewed, that means it was only 17 one time that Nelnet reviewed the account for a late 18 19 payment? 20 And that's the technical definition, yes. Α 2.1 The next Nelnet Loan Service account on Page 342-08 has the high-credit balance showing of 22 \$8,500. 23 24 Is it correct that the additional 25 information that appears for that account of, quote, Page 86

1 account closed by consumer, semicolon, collection 2 account, semicolon, student loan assigned to 3 government, semicolon, close quote, was provided by the furnisher, Nelnet Loan Services? 4 5 Yes. 0 Is that a yes? 7 Α Yes. That was a yes. Okay. Please turn to Page 343-05 through 9 343-07. 10 Α Okay. One moment. Okay. The first item of information -- the first 11 12 account is on 343-05, the Nelnet Loan Services 13 account. That's what I mean you to view. 14 It shows, in the rate or status box, 15 120-plus days past due. Was that information provided to Equifax 16 from Nelnet Loan Services? 17 Α 18 Yes. 19 And in the comments section, it says 0 20 student loan assigned to government. 2.1 Was that also provided by Nelnet Loan 22 Services? 23 Α Yes. 24 In the box months reviewed, it says 58. 25 But then there's a larger box below it that takes up Page 87

1 the full width of the page that says, no 24-month 2 payment data available for display. 3 Is there any way to reconcile the meaning of 58 months reviewed compared to no 20-month -- no 4 24-month payment data available? 5 6 There is no way to reconcile that. Α No. 7 The 24-month payment-history grid would be here, and 8 that would signify if there were any late payments 9 that were reported to Equifax within the previous 24 months and the actual date and the time limits of 10 that payment. The months reviewed is independent of 11 12 that. 13 And looking at the very bottom of 343-05, which seems to continue to the top of 343-06, Nelnet 14 Loan Services account, it had a high balance or high 15 credit of \$10,000, that 120-plus days past due also 16 originated from Nelnet Loan Services? 17 Α 18 Yes. And the comment about student loan 19 0 20 assigned to government, that's also originated from 2.1 Nelnet Loan Services? 22 Yes. 23 Is the same true for the 120-plus days 24 past due on the other two loans that appear on that 25 same page below? Page 88

1 Α Yes. And the same is true for the comments that 2 Q 3 account closed at consumer's account --4 Α Yes, sir. -- or, actually, that's one, and then the 5 6 other says account closed by consumer? 7 Α Yes. We're going to go to the next credit 9 report, consumer's production, which is at 344, the bottom half of Page 344-02, 344 -- and the entire 10 11 page of 344-03. 12 Okay. Α 13 Is it correct that Nelnet reported to 14 Experian on all four of the accounts 120-plus days 15 past due? Did you mean Equifax? 16 I mean Equifax. Thank you. 17 I apologize. I'll just rephrase it. 18 Is it true that Nelnet Loan Services 19 20 reported to Equifax on all four of these accounts 21 that each was 120-plus days past due? 22 Α Yes. 23 And the comments section, of course, also 24 originated with Nelnet Loan Services for each of 25 these accounts? Page 89

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q Turning to Page 345-01.
3	A Okay.
4	Q Do you know, from looking at this or
5	looking at the ACIS case, what research Equifax did
6	to come to the result that the account was updated
7	to show included in bankruptcy or included in
8	bankruptcy of another person?
9	A We updated that per a document that we
10	received by the consumer, and I'm looking at 006
11	through 0012. I believe it was one of those faxes
12	that we were talking about.
13	Q Okay. Thank you.
14	Turning to 346-01 and 02. This is, again,
15	just the reinvestigation result concerning only
16	these accounts on September 9th that were reviewed
17	by Equifax; correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And the reinvestigation means that Equifax
20	contacted Nelnet, and Nelnet verified the informa-
21	tion that is now appearing for the accounts; is that
22	correct?
23	A One moment.
24	(Witness reviews documents).
25	No. Actually, I believe on in this Page 90

1	reinvestigation, we were processing a fax; and we
2	were using the documents that the consumer provided
3	to complete this reinvestigation. I do not I
4	looking at the documents from 031 through 038, it
5	doesn't look as though Nelnet was contacted during
6	this time period.
7	Q So Equifax did not contact Nelnet with
8	respect to this particular reinvestigation?
9	A No, because the if we read these
10	responses that we sent to the consumer, they were
11	making reference the documentation was making
12	reference to the late or excuse me the
13	balance. And this account was already reporting
14	balance the balances of zero, so at that at
15	this time period, we advised the consumer that the
16	account was already reporting a zero balance.
17	Q On all four or just the three that are
18	indicating, current status, pays as agreed?
19	A It looks like on all four. We addressed
20	all four accounts at that time.
21	Q On each of these trade lines, it appears
22	in a bolded section right below the trade line, we
23	have researched the credit account. Then it has an
24	account number. The results are, this creditor
25	I'm reading from the very first one on Page Page 91

1 346-01 -- results are, quote, this creditor is currently reporting a zero balance for this account, 2 3 period. If you have additional questions about this item, please contact, colon, UNIPAC, 3015 S. Parker 4 Road, Aurora, Colorado, 80014-2904, and then a 5 6 telephone number. 7 Why would Equifax refer the consumer to a 8 company called UNIPAC concerning Nelnet Loan 9 Services reports? 10 Α Well, the information -- or the contact information that we give to the consumers regarding 11 12 an account is the contact information that is 13 provided to us by the credit grantor, so that would be information that the credit grantor provided to 14 15 us. So you received the information from 16 Nelnet Loan Services that the consumer should 17 contact UNIPAC for any questions? 18 19 Α Yes, sir. 20 When did Nelnet provide that particular 0 21 information to Equifax --Oh, I don't know. 22 23 -- because you're saying that this 24 reinvestigation did not include contacting Nelnet? 25 Well, it didn't include contacting the Α Page 92

1	credit grantor. Now, some reinvestigations may not
2	be necessary for us to contact the credit grantor.
3	For example, in a instance where, if a consumer is
4	disputing a balance and they're saying an account is
5	paid off and we look at the account and it's already
6	showing a zero balance, it's not necessary. The
7	consumer's concerns are already addressed; so we
8	will contact the or the consumer and let them
9	know that the account is showing what you want.
10	Q Well, as to the Nelnet Loan Services
11	account at the top of Page 346-02, what caused you
12	to say that Mr. Minnick was not disputing the status
13	that appears as a charge-off?
14	A Well, I'm just going by the actions of
15	this of the agent here.
16	Q I understand. I'm trying to understand
17	You said earlier that you didn't contact
18	Nelnet because the consumer the trade line is
19	reporting as the consumer had requested?
20	A Right. And without actually reviewing the
21	fax, no. I mean, if the consumer on the fax is
22	stating that the accounts they were also
23	disputing the late payments, then it is possible
24	that the agent that processed this work
25	misinterpreted it and thought that they were just Page 93

1 disputing the balance. But if it turns out that the 2 fax that we received only addresses the balance, 3 then the agent processed that correctly. Turning now to Page 347-01. 4 Q 5 Α One moment, please. I'm sorry, one 6 moment. 7 MS. GREENSTEIN: What number? THE WITNESS: 347-01. I think I have it 8 9 over here somewhere. I have documents 10 everywhere. Okay. It's finally happened. I'm 11 lost in these documents. One moment, please. 12 Is it a credit file that I'm looking for? 13 BY MR. STEMPLER: 14 You're looking for a two-page document 15 with the credit-file date of September 14th, 2004, 347-01 and 02. 16 17 Α Okay. I have it now. Is it correct that on this particular 18 0 19 report of these Nelnet Loan Service accounts, that 20 all of the trade lines reported by Nelnet show in 21 the current status pays as agreed? 22 Α Yes. 23 And that none of these accounts have a 24 payment history of any late payments or delinquent 25 fees? Page 94

1	A That's correct.
2	Q None of these accounts show any evidence
3	of being a collection account or charge-off account?
4	A No, they don't.
5	Q Can you tell me what caused all of the
6	accounts to be showing pays as agreed on this in
7	light of the September 9th report which shows one of
8	the accounts as a charge-off?
9	A Well, again, we're still talking about a
10	number of faxes that the consumer did send to us.
11	And I'm matching up 0039 to 0046, and it appears as
12	though the agent that processed this fax used the
13	documentation that the consumer provided to update
14	the accounts to one, to a one status well, the
15	remaining one as
16	So they updated the one that was showing a
17	charge-off from a charge-off to a I-1, and then they
18	advised the credit grant or excuse me the
19	consumer that the remaining three was reporting paid
20	as agreed with no late payments.
21	Q Was it the same operator who did the
22	handled the maintenance phase for the September 9th
23	and the September 14th reports?
24	A Huh. That's a good question. Hold on one
25	second. I'll look and find out. Here it is.

1 The person that -- or that processed the 2 faxes from the September 14th to the September 9th 3 appear to be different. I can see that the person that processed the fax on September 14th, their 4 log-in is OG-O or OG --5 6 Where are you reading -- where are you 7 reading that? 45, 00045. Under the name, where it says 9 received letter from Nelnet, accept, the log-in is 10 OG-0. And I don't see -- you know, as I look through the 0031 through 0038 ACIS case, I don't see 11 12 the same reference to the OG-O log-in; so it appears 13 to me as though there was different people that 14 processed it. 15 What about on EIS0037 that refers to OG-6? 16 Okay. What about that, sir? What is -- does the OG refer to a 17 particular group to which the employee is assigned? 18 19 Α They're not groups. They're people. No. 20 They're individuals. 2.1 OG is somebody's initials? 0 It's a log-in that's assigned. 22 Α 23 And what about the number that follows? 0 24 It's a three-digit log-in. All three. 25 OG -- OG-0 would be someone. What you made Page 96

1	reference to, OG-6, would be someone.
2	Q Somebody else?
3	A Yes. And if you're looking at the same
4	thing
5	Q The OG
6	A I'm sorry.
7	Q The OG doesn't tie them to a particular
8	team or anything of that nature?
9	A No, but traditionally the log-ins that
10	begin with O would make reference to someone at ICT;
11	but you need all three of those digits to figure
12	out, you know, who the individual is.
13	Q Have you had occasion to contact any of
14	the employees who's who were involved in the
15	reinvestigations of Mr. Minnick's credit reports?
16	A No, I haven't.
17	Q Did you determine the names of the
18	employees?
19	A No.
20	Q Has ICT provided you with any further
21	information concerning the employees who performed
22	these reinvestigations for Equifax or the actual
23	content of what may have transpired telephonically
24	with Mr. Minnick?
25	A No. As far as the names are concerned, I Page 97

1 mean, that would be something that I could get. As far as the telephone conversations, we 2 3 do not have a call management system. We have a case management system. So there wouldn't be any 4 5 notes in a system for every time, you know, a 6 consumer would call. I mean, what we have -- I was 7 going to say, what we have here is what we see. 8 Are there quotas for the individual 9 operators at the calling centers? 10 Α There are average targets that they The average target for a phone call -- it 11 produce. 12 depends on tenure and experience -- would be from --I believe now it's down from five to ten, depending 13 14 on tenure and experience. 15 Five to ten what? 0 16 Calls per hour. I take that back. now it's 2.5 to 10. 17 So the least-experienced operator is given 18 0 19 a target of 2.5 calls per hour, and the more-20 experienced or senior operators are expected to 21 average 10 calls per hour? 22 Yes, something of that nature, yes. 23 Were you ever an operator of the phones 24 for customers when they called in their disputes to 25 Equifax? Page 98

1	A Yes.
2	Q How long were you an operator, how many
3	years or months?
4	A Oh. I was an operator for a total of two
5	years.
6	Q Do you remember, by the time you were
7	just before that you had moved on from that position
8	to your next position, how many calls per hour you
9	were able to average?
10	A I averaged about eight. I talk too much.
11	Q Turning now, please, to 349-05 and 06.
12	A Ah. Okay. I have it.
13	Q Before we complete before we move on to
14	this particular exhibit, on the previous exhibit,
15	all four Nelnet accounts showed pays as agreed. And
16	you said, in connection with that, that the status
17	was now an I-1.
18	Is that your recollection of what the
19	status was for the four Nelnet accounts as of the
20	September 14th report by Equifax?
21	A Yes.
22	Q In yesterday's deposition of Experian, the
23	representative
24	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can you say
25	that again. Page 99
1	1 480 77

1	BY MR. STEMPLER:
2	Q the representative said that they had
3	received information from Equifax and TransUnion
4	concerning the Nelnet accounts about Mr. Minnick.
5	I wanted to find out if you know when and
6	what Equifax provided to Experian concerning any of
7	these four Nelnet accounts?
8	A Well, I do know the procedure is that when
9	we investigate an account and the account is
10	updated, that we would provide a carbon copy to
11	TransUnion and Experian.
12	Q How would what's your understanding of
13	the manner in which that information is transmitted
14	to the other credit bureaus?
15	A I believe that would be done via E-OSCAR.
16	Q Can you tell, from looking at any of these
17	documents that we have here today or anything else
18	that we don't have here, what information was
19	communicated to either of the other two credit
20	bureaus about these four, or any of these four,
21	Nelnet accounts?
22	A It should be what the update was to the
23	accounts at the end of the reinvestigation.
24	Q So are you saying that at the end of each
25	reinvestigation performed by Equifax, an E-OSCAR Page 100

1 transmission is sent to TransUnion and Experian 2 concerning that particular account? 3 Α Yes. I believe that's how it works, yes. Is there any way to identify that 4 occurrence from the documents that Equifax has 5 6 produced? 7 Α No, sir. So the ACIS case doesn't disclose 8 9 somewhere that that has occurred once the reinvestigation has been concluded? 10 11 Α No. What document would help you identify the 12 0 information and the date of transmission of any 13 information via E-OSCAR to the other bureaus about 14 15 these Nelnet accounts? I'm not sure. I don't -- I don't know. 16 Do you happen to know the department that 17 handles those communications to the other bureaus? 18 19 MS. GREENSTEIN: I'm going to object just 20 to the extent it may be outside of the 30(b)(6) 2.1 Notice. 22 But you can answer, if you know. 23 That would be within Equifax Information Α 24 Services department from which I work. 25 BY MR. STEMPLER: Page 101

1	Q Equifax Information Services department
2	Was there something that you said right
3	after that?
4	A Yeah. In the department where I work,
5	there is a group called customer focus; and they may
6	have that information regarding the carbon copies.
7	Q Do you know if it is that particular group
8	that also receives the E-OSCAR transmissions that
9	may come from the other credit bureaus?
10	A I believe, if I'm not mistaken, that that
11	would be done electronically. I don't know if a
12	particular group would receive that. That would go
13	into the system automatically, but that group may
14	actually own that project or own what happens within
15	that system.
16	Q In your review this morning of the ACIS
17	cases and feel free if you need to review the
18	ACIS cases again does it appear that Equifax
19	received information from any of the other credit
20	bureaus concerning any Nelnet account?
21	A If we did, it wouldn't be within an ACIS
22	case. If we received a carbon copy, it would not be
23	within an ACIS case.
24	Q How would it
25	So it would be reflected where?

1	A It would go to bed on pardon the
2	expression, but it would go directly to the credit
3	file, the changes.
4	Q So even if Nelnet had verified something a
5	particular way, if you get information from the
6	another credit bureau updating the information as
7	different, the information from that credit bureau
8	would supersede the prior Nelnet verified informa-
9	tion?
10	MS. WEAVER: Objection. That misstates
11	her prior testimony.
12	A I'm not a hundred percent as far as what
13	would supersede when, but I do believe that it is
14	possible that that information would be placed on
15	the credit file. I'm not quite sure, you know, how
16	soon after reinvestigation. I don't know the ins
17	and outs of that, but it is possible.
18	BY MR. STEMPLER:
19	Q Okay. Well, let's go back to Exhibit
20	349-05.
21	And is it correct that the Nelnet account
22	that appears on 349-05 that had a high credit of
23	6,722 is showing as, current status, pays as agreed?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And that this particular account has no $ m Page~103$

1 late payments or delinquencies being reported? 2 Α Yes. 3 And that same fact is true of the account 0 on Page 349-06 that had a high-credit balance of 4 \$8,500 with loan -- Nelnet Loan Services on the top 5 6 of that page? 7 Α Yes. 8 The next account on that page, 349-06, 9 with Nelnet shows a current status of charge-off of a former high balance of \$10,000. 10 11 Are you aware how that information 12 became -- was received by Equifax? Is that the 103 account, I believe? 13 Α 14 0 One second, please. No. I believe it's the account ending in 01 that had the 10,000-dollar 15 balance --16 Oh, okay. Wait a minute. 17 Α -- a former high credit of 10,000. 18 0 19 All right. I was looking at the wrong Α 20 one. 2.1 Okay. Do you have what Equifax produced, 234 through 236? 22 23 MS. GREENSTEIN: Robert, just for your 24 information, that was part of the 25 supplemental --Page 104

1	MR. STEMPLER: I'm sorry?
2	MS. GREENSTEIN: That was part of the
3	supplemental production last week.
4	MR. STEMPLER: Yes. I have EIS0234
5	through 236.
6	A Okay. Well, this is this is what
7	appears to be the carbon copy that we were talking
8	about. And if you look at 235, it appears as though
9	we received a carbon copy on September 16th for that
10	account number. I'm looking at the second block.
11	It's reporting account status 13, which is paid and
12	closed, and a payment rating of a nine, which is
13	charged off, and it's showing the original bureau
14	Experian.
15	Q So to go through some of the things that I
16	see on this particular block
17	First of all, it appears on EIS0234 that
18	there are three separate items; is that correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And the same with 235?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And there are two on Page EIS236?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And what does each one of these blocks
25	represent? Page 105
	1 age 103

1 They represent carbon copies that Equifax Α 2 received from the other credit-reporting agencies. 3 So these are received only, not sent? 0 I think it has two dates. If we look at 4 234, the first block, it says date created. 5 6 believe that's the date that TransUnion created it. And then if you look at the second -- there's a date 7 that's in the lower left-hand corner of that block. 8 9 It says DBM, database maintenance, received, and 10 that would be September 3rd, 2004. And right below that it says, processed 11 0 12 8/26/2005. 13 Α I believe that's when --14 0 Was that simply the printout date? 15 Α Correct. So your read of that first block is 16 Okay. that this is information originating with TransUnion 17 on August 31st, 2004, which Equifax received on 18 19 September 3rd, 2004. 20 Am I right so far? 2.1 Α Yes. 22 It says under Social Security number --0 23 actually, it's not under -- under the account 24 number, I should say, which ended in 03 --25 Α Yes. Page 106

1 -- account status, 13 equals PRC, NC-158, Q 2 BAL, hyphen, zero. 3 Could you interpret those for the record? I know the account status 13 means paid 4 PRC -- I'm sorry. 5 and closed. I don't know what 6 the initials PRC stand for. The NC means narrative 7 code 158, which is a comment that says account 8 closed and paid. And then the BAL would be zero. 9 So that account status, does it indicate 10 paid as agreed? And then down at the bottom, the next 11 Α No. 12 group, it says payment rating, L equals charge-off. 13 Q L equals charge-off? 14 Α Yes, rate nine, which would be the nine. 15 Is that L rate? Oh. 0 16 Yes. Α And what's NC-067 mean? 17 0 NC is a narrative code. 067 is a 18 Α 19 description. It might mean student loan. I'm 20 not -- don't quote me on that one. And then it says 2.1 use 244 -- narrative code 244 if balance is zero. Narrative code 244, I know, is paid charge-off. 22 23 Actually, I believe narrative 067 is charge-off. 24 There is a box that is described on the 25 left as seven-year payment-history profile. Page 107

1		Do you see that box?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Do those little forward slashes in the
4	boxes hav	re meaning to you?
5	A	It means no data available.
6	Q	Okay. So if there's a little slash a
7	little fo	orward slash in there, it means the date is
8	not avail	Lable?
9	A	No data, data, available.
10	Q	What about the other boxes that are blank?
11	A	It's the same thing.
12	Q	So this particular item of information was
13	received	on September 3rd by Equifax from
14	TransUnio	on, and it talked about account 03.
15		So would that explain what happened prior
16	to this p	particular account on some of the credit
17	reports t	that we've just viewed, because right now
18	we're up	to October 22nd.
19		If we go back to early September, do you
20	believe t	that would explain some of the changes to
21	the accou	int?
22		MS. WEAVER: Objection, calls for
23	spec	culation.
24	A	Well, I don't know how far back you want
25	to go.	Page 108
		1 age 106

1 BY MR. STEMPLER: 2 September 9th. Q 3 Well, we're looking at the 03 account. Α 4 You said September 9th. Okay. One moment. 5 See, we've got a couple of things going 6 We've got carbon copies coming in, and we also on. 7 have disputes taking place around the same time 8 period; so it can get a little confusing. 9 Well, account 03 was the account that had an originally high -- original balance or high 10 11 credit of --12 Α 11 ---- 11,728**.** 13 Q 14 Α Right. And on the September 9th, 2004 report from 15 Equifax, it shows the account that had a balance of 16 11,728 as having a charge-off status. 17 18 Α Yeah. Okay. You directed our attention to this 19 0 20 particular page due to the item in the middle, which 2.1 was created September 16th and received by Equifax 22 through E-OSCAR on September 22nd. 23 Α Yes. 24 Does that explain the charge-off of either 25 the 10,000-dollar high-credit account or the 11,728 Page 109

1	high-credit account?
2	A I do believe it's possible that the
3	account now is showing charge-off due to a carbon
4	copy that was received.
5	Q Well, according to Page EIS0234, the lower
6	two items were sent and received by Experian on the
7	same date, according to that record; is that
8	correct?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And then if we turn to the next page, we
11	have two more account carbon copies via E-OSCAR from
12	Experian of the same date, also received by Equifax
13	on the same date of September 22nd, 2004.
14	Do these four carbon-copy boxes appear to
15	cover all four of the Nelnet accounts for Mr. Paul
16	Minnick?
17	A For that September 22nd time period?
18	Q Yeah, for the fact that Equifax
19	received these on September 22nd, 2004, all four at
20	the same day?
21	A It does seem that it does seem as
22	though there's a carbon copy sent referring being
23	referenced to the four accounts.
24	Q In your analysis of these four carbon
25	copy Page 110

1 Well, do you just call them carbon copies or is there a term that you normally use to refer to 2 3 these --I'm sorry. On 230 --4 Α -- transmissions? 5 I'm sorry? I'm sorry. I referred to them as carbon 7 copies. Okay. Are these four carbon copies all 0 9 reporting all four Nelnet accounts in the same way as being charge-offs? 10 11 They're all reporting as -- or Α Yes. 12 showing as payment rating L. And based on the credit report that we 13 have immediately preceding -- or a few days 14 preceding that E-OSCAR transmission that Equifax 15 received on September 22nd, 2004 --16 I'm referring you specifically to the 17 Equifax report dated September 14th. 18 Uh-huh. 19 Α 20 Prior to this transmission, wasn't Equifax 0 2.1 showing all four of these accounts as paid as 22 agreed? 23 We updated those accounts to show as Α 24 paid as agreed. Actually, some of them are already 25 showing, with the exception of one; and then we Page 111

1 updated the other one. You updated it on September 14th? Is that 2 Q 3 what you mean --Α Yes. 4 -- or are you talking about afterwards? 5 0 Α Correct. I'm not -- no. I'm confused now. 7 0 We're referring -- right -- to the 8 9 September 14th report that shows all four Nelnet accounts paid as agreed; right? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 And none of these accounts had any 13 notation of collection or delinquency or charge-off; 14 correct? 15 Α Correct. Then Equifax receives on September 22nd 16 from Experian these carbon copies, all of which say 17 all four accounts should be reported as a charge-18 off; is that correct? 19 20 Α Yes. 2.1 How was the consumer notified about the 0 22 fact that these accounts were being reported by 23 Experian -- were being updated by Experian to show 24 as a charge-off? 25 MS. GREENSTEIN: Objection to the extent Page 112

1 it's outside the scope of the 30(b)(6) Notice. Actually, I'm only showing one account 2 Α 3 that was updated to report as to charge-off. 4 I'm not quite sure if the consumer was notified. Ι don't believe that consumers are notified via a 5 6 carbon-copy update. 7 BY MR. STEMPLER: 8 0 Okay. You said --9 Now, are we referring now to the October 22nd, 2004 credit report of Paul Minnick? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Does it appear to you that two of the 13 accounts are paid as agreed and two of the accounts are charge-offs? 14 15 It is, but I'm not quite sure if they were updated via the carbon copy or a regular tape that's 16 coming in. I can't say for certain. 17 So you can't tell, from looking at the 18 0 19 documents that you have available right now to you, 20 whether -- why the two Nelnet Loan Service accounts 2.1 show on the October 22nd, 2004 credit report pays as agreed after this carbon copy was received by 22 23 Equifax; is that right? 24 Not a -- not a hundred percent, no. 25 And you're not sure why the two Nelnet Page 113

1 Loan Service accounts that show charge-off are 2 reporting as a charge-off by Equifax after these 3 four carbon copies were received on September 22nd, 4 2004, from Experian? 5 That's correct. I mean, I see the carbon 6 copies, but I just cannot -- I can't say with a 7 hundred percent certainty that these carbon copies 8 are the reason why these accounts are showing 9 charge-off. 10 This credit report that we're seeing right now dated October 22nd, 2004, of Paul C. Minnick, 11 12 Jr., was that provided to Mr. Minnick as part of a reinvestigation or simply because he requested the 13 report directly from Equifax? 14 15 It appears as though he requested a Α 16 report, because of the cover letter. Let's review the rest of these E-OSCAR 17 18 carbon copies. 19 Α Okay. 20 We've already reviewed the three on Page 0 2.1 234 and the top two on Page EIS025. Let's review the one at the bottom, the third one, which appears 22 23 to be originating with Experian approximately 24 September 28th, 2004, and received by Equifax on 25 October 5th, 2004. Page 114

1	What information did Experian provide to
2	you about Nelnet account 04?
3	A It doesn't appear as though there was any
4	information that was provided.
5	Q So based on your understanding of the
6	carbon-copy process, was there any action to be
7	taken upon receipt by Equifax of this carbon copy?
8	A It doesn't appear as though there is
9	anything to update.
10	Q Turning to EIS0236, it appears that both
11	of these are originating with TransUnion, created
12	January 21st, 2005, and received by Equifax on
13	February 7th, 2005.
14	Is that your understanding, as well?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And this refers to Nelnet accounts 03 and
17	02; correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q On the first carbon copy, what account
20	status is TransUnion trying to communicate
21	concerning account 03?
22	MS. WEAVER: Objection, calls for
23	speculation.
24	A It's reporting account status as 13, which
25	is paid and zero balance, and payment rating one,

1 which is paid as agreed, or zero, which is paid as 2 agreed. 3 BY MR. STEMPLER: So account 03 would be reported, based on 4 TransUnion's carbon copy, paid as agreed? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 And what about account 02, the account 8 status and payment history? 9 Account status would be 13, paid and 10 closed. And then the payment rating would be L, which is charge-off. 11 12 So this was a charge-off -- 02 is a 13 charge-off, and 03 is paid as agreed? 14 Can you say that again? I don't 15 think that sounded right. So, to recap, account 03 would be 16 Okay. 17 reported by Equifax as pays as agreed. And account 02 would be reported as a charge-off, with a zero 18 19 balance, by Equifax? 20 That's what's -- according to these Α Yes. 21 carbon copies, yes. Turning to Exhibit 351- --22 0 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. I have a real big 24 mess here. 25 I'm sorry? MR. STEMPLER: Page 116

1	THE WITNESS: I have a big mess here.
2	Hold on.
3	MR. STEMPLER: A big nasty what?
4	THE WITNESS: A mess. I have a mess on my
5	desk.
6	MR. STEMPLER: Oh. Okay. I'll let you
7	clean it up. Oh. And let me know when you
8	need to take a break, please.
9	THE WITNESS: Don't worry. I will.
10	You want me to look at 353? What did you
11	want me to look at?
12	BY MR. STEMPLER:
13	Q No. I was I was hoping you would look
14	at 351-08.
15	A Oh. 351-08.
16	Q And while you're looking at that, you
17	might also note that 351-05 indicates this March
18	8th, 2005 credit report.
19	A All right. I have it.
20	Q On each of the Nelnet Loan Services
21	accounts on this credit report, which appear on
22	351-08, it shows items as of date reported, and it
23	shows 03/2005.
24	What does that indicate, that notation?
25	A You said items as reported of March 2005? Page 117

1 0 Yes. Okay. That's the date that that account 2 Α 3 was last reported to Equifax. 4 Is there any way to determine who reported it as of March 2005 -- yeah -- March 2005? 5 6 I'm sorry. You mean --Α 7 What do you mean by "who"? The source of the information reported? 8 9 I mean, it would be the data furnisher that's listed here. 10 11 So it would not be the information that 0 12 Equifax received from TransUnion via E-OSCAR in February of '05? 13 Well, all the information that we receive 14 is coming directly from the original source. 15 Can I look at this and tell if it's a 16 carbon copy or if it's tape or if it's via 17 reinvestigation? No. 18 19 Are all the accounts that appear for 0 20 Nelnet on Mr. Minnick's March 8th, 2005 credit 21 report pays as agreed? 22 Α Yes. 23 Do any of them reflect a charge-off or 24 collection or some other delinquency or late 25 payment? Page 118

1	A No.
2	Q And Equifax has deleted
3	When we go back to the September 14th,
4	2004 credit report, which starts on Page 347,
5	Exhibit 347
6	A Was there a question on the table?
7	Q Yeah. I wanted you to go back to it and
8	see that they're all pays as agreed according to
9	that report; is that correct?
10	A On September 14th?
11	Q Yes. You got it.
12	A Yes. We said they all are showing paid as
13	agreed.
14	Q Isn't Equifax required to send notice to
15	the consumer when it adds a designation of
16	charge-off to this account on a subsequent report?
17	A No.
18	Q Why not?
19	A To my knowledge and I'm not an
20	attorney but if we reinsert an item that's been
21	deleted from the credit file, at that point we are
22	to advise the consumer that an item has been
23	reinserted to their credit file.
24	Q And do you know if that was done with
25	respect to the new information the charge-off Page 119

1 information that shows up on the October 22nd, 2004 2 Equifax credit file? 3 MS. GREENSTEIN: Objection, misconstrues her prior testimony. 4 No, because the item was never removed 5 6 from the credit file. 7 BY MR. STEMPLER: So the word charge-off, prior to October 8 9 22nd, did not appear on the accounts one and three? 10 Α When? 11 Prior to -- well, for example, prior to 12 September 14th? 13 Said the words charge-off? 14 0 Yeah. Why don't you take a look at the September 9th, 2004 credit report by Equifax. 15 Okay. And look for what? What would you 16 Α like me to look for? 17 The account that has a balance of 11,728. 18 19 You mean the high credit? It's reporting Α 20 charge-off. 2.1 High credit -- original high-credit 22 balance of 11,728. 23 Okay. Yes, sir. It's reporting charge-off. 24 25 And on the September 14th, that was gone, Page 120

1	and it was pays as agreed?
2	A Okay.
3	Q And now in October it's charge-off again?
4	A Yes.
5	Q So I wanted to ask you, and I wanted to
6	know from Equifax, when the consumer was notified of
7	that change?
8	A Well, when the consumer received a copy of
9	their credit file, they did see that the status was
10	reporting a charged-off account at that time.
11	Q Do you know when the charge-off
12	designation was added to the 01 and 03 accounts
13	A Right. That's
14	Q after the September 14th after the
15	September 14th, 2004 report had been issued?
16	A Yeah. That's where we well, I won't
17	speak for you. But I attempted to do that by
18	looking at the carbon copies and the previous
19	reinvestigation, and I wasn't able to tell with
20	certainty when that was added.
21	Q Okay. I want to review with you the pages
22	that follow EIS0236.
23	THE WITNESS: Do you have that?
24	MS. GREENSTEIN: Hold on. I've got to
25	so what numbers do you want, 236 through what?

1	MR. STEMPLER: No. I'd like to start you
2	off with EIS0237.
3	THE WITNESS: Wait. Are those scans?
4	MS. GREENSTEIN: Hang on.
5	THE WITNESS: Are we starting to go
6	through scans?
7	MS. GREENSTEIN: I don't know which one
8	we're looking at. Do you have any EIS
9	documents over there?
10	THE WITNESS: Ah. I have it. It looks
11	like CDV's.
12	MS. GREENSTEIN: Okay. She has 237.
13	BY MR. STEMPLER:
14	Q Okay. This is an Equifax judgment dispute
15	verification request sent August 9th, 2004.
16	What is the as you understand it, what
17	is the request or what is Equifax requesting be
18	verified?
19	A The disposition of the judgment.
20	Q And how was it verified, according to this
21	document?
22	A As satisfied.
23	Q Do you know who verified it?
24	A What do you mean by "who"?
25	Q The name of the organization or the person Page 122

1	that verified the satisfaction of judgment?
2	A It's NDR.
3	Q Do you mean National Data Retrieval?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Turning to the next page, EIS0238 through
6	0279, is that one package of documents that Equifax
7	received from Mr. Minnick?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And is it correct that this was received
10	by mail, based on the envelope on 279?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Do you know if any pages may have been
13	missing from this package, such as, the second page
14	of Mr. Minnick's letter?
15	A No, not to my knowledge, no.
16	Q So it's your understanding that the second
17	page of Mr. Minnick's letter was not included in his
18	envelope to you with the cover sheet dated July 26,
19	2004?
20	A Yeah. I just caught on that there was a
21	second page. I didn't see that until now.
22	No. I don't recall ever seeing a second
23	page.
24	Q Turning to EIS0280, this is an ACDV that
25	appears to have been processed 8/27/2004; is that
	EAVE 17.1

1	correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q What account or accounts were being
4	investigated with this ACDV?
5	A One moment. In this ACIS, there were four
6	Nelnet accounts that were being disputed.
7	Q Could you tell me the page of the ACIS?
8	A 29.
9	Q So is it possible there were three other
10	ACDV's that also were sent simultaneously to Nelnet?
11	A No. This one was printed for an agent to
12	process, and the others appear to have been answered
13	automatically in an automated fashion.
14	Q Where does that show up on the maintenance
15	sheet summary?
16	A Well, if you see the on EIS29, for this
17	particular Nelnet loan, the operator was CT-3 and
18	that's a person as opposed to EOS.
19	Q Okay. So at the bottom of EIS0029, how do
20	we know that this is for account 04, this Nelnet
21	account 04?
22	A I'm sorry. What do you mean?
23	Q Well, on the ACDV, it talks about reported
24	account and corrected account. And the account
25	number ends in an 04.
	e de la companya de

1	A Uh-huh.
2	Q So at the bottom of the maintenance sheet
3	summary on Page EIS0029, it doesn't really show the
4	full account number.
5	How do you know that those descriptions
6	there refer to this ACDV?
7	A Well, I mean, if you look at the ACDV
8	closely, there are the same open dates, high credit
9	that's being making reference to.
10	Q Okay. So you're talking about the 6,722?
11	A Yes.
12	Q So looking at EIS0280, was this done by
13	fax from Nelnet?
14	A No. It was transmitted through E-OSCAR.
15	But under certain conditions, when a credit grantor
16	responds, ACDV may print out for an agent to review
17	as opposed to being processed in an automated
18	fashion.
19	Q So this just happened to be printed out,
20	whereas, the other three that were being
21	reinvestigated were not?
22	A Correct.
23	Q And what changes did Nelnet request
24	Equifax make to this account according to the ACDV
25	on EIS0280? Page 125
	<b>C</b>

1	A They're changing the status or the type of
2	the account from an I-1 to an I-9, and you can see
3	that well, dead square in the center of the page,
4	where it says narratives, if you look to the left of
5	the narratives, it's type and account. The top
6	shows what how the account was reporting, and
7	then the bottom is the request from the credit
8	grantor.
9	Q Before we go on before we go on to the
10	next issue, Nelnet requested
11	Is the date of their request, by the way,
12	August 27, 2004, or is that the date you sent it to
13	them?
14	A Both. It's the date that we initiated the
15	reinvestigation and sent to Nelnet.
16	Q And sent it to Nelnet?
17	And what was the date of their response?
18	A The response was entered on August 31st,
19	2004. There is a date at the bottom of August 30th.
20	Q Okay. Next to that is the authorized
21	representative, somebody Yetto [phonetic]?
22	A Yes.
23	Q So somebody from Nelnet said on this ACDV
24	to change account 04 from pays as agreed to
25	charge-off, because I-1 is pays as agreed and I-9 is Page 126

1 a charge-off; is that right? 2 Α Yes. Is the narrative also changed according to 3 0 4 this -- this verification response from Nelnet? 5 They're asking us to change the narratives to closed or paid account with zero 7 balance. Q To? 9 Α To that. 10 0 Okay. They -- Nelnet requested that narrative be placed on this account? 11 12 Α That -- yes, the one that's in the bottom 13 box. So they wanted an I-9 with a narrative 14 Q code closed or paid account/zero balance; right? 15 16 Α Yes. What is in that box under FCRA relevant 17 information? 18 Α That's the information that we entered as 19 20 a part of the reinvestigation. 2.1 Can you tell, from looking at this, the source of the letter from Nelnet dated August 7, 22 23 2004? 24 No. 25 So you don't know whether that was sent to Q Page 127

1	you to Equifax by Mr. Minnick or some other
2	source?
3	A No. It would have been a letter that was
4	sent to Equifax. And if we weren't going to use the
5	letter or rely on the letter to make the changes to
6	the credit file, then we would forward the content
7	of that letter as relevant information to the credit
8	grantor. And that's what was done here.
9	Q So you didn't forward a copy of the Nelnet
10	letter dated 8/7/2004 to Nelnet. You simply made
11	reference to it?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. I'm done with that one, and I'd
14	like to go on to exhibit or I should say Bates
15	No. EIS079. It's a little hard to read the EIS079
16	at the bottom of that one page.
17	THE WITNESS: What's that? Scans?
18	MR. DONSBACH: Robert, I think we're due
19	for a break.
20	MR. STEMPLER: Okay.
21	MR. DONSBACH: Off the record.
22	(Thereupon, a discussion was
23	held off the record.)
24	MR. DONSBACH: Let's go back on the
25	record. Is the court reporter there?
	1 420 120

1	MS. GREENSTEIN: Yes, she is.
2	MR. DONSBACH: Are all counsel there?
3	MS. WEAVER: Yes.
4	MS. GREENSTEIN: Yes.
5	MR. DONSBACH: This is Paul Donsbach. I
6	need to state for the record that it is now
7	five minutes to noon California time. All
8	parties have stipulated to have this deposition
9	taken telephonically. Plaintiff's counsel,
10	Robert Stempler, has indicated that he will not
11	take a break longer than five minutes to
12	accommodate counsel participating by phone to
13	take a brief break to grab some food to in
14	account of the noon hour. And I am going to be
15	gone for the next 15 minutes to do that, and I
16	object to any testimony taken in the next 15
17	minutes. Thank you.
18	MR. STEMPLER: Okay. So we'll resume in
19	five minutes.
20	(Whereupon, there was a
21	15-minute recess in the
22	deposition.)
23	BY MR. STEMPLER:
24	Q Please take a look, Ms. Fluellen, at
25	EIS0179. Page 129
	rage 129

1	MS. GREENSTEIN: 0179?
2	BY MR. STEMPLER:
3	Q It's kind of hard to read the bottom
4	because I think the printing of those numbers was a
5	little bit low or no.
6	A Okay. One moment, please. That's 76.
7	MS. GREENSTEIN: 01. Oh, crap. Where are
8	they?
9	THE WITNESS: Is this them? No.
10	MS. GREENSTEIN: Those are Plaintiff's.
11	Do you have any documents over there with EIS
12	on them?
13	THE WITNESS: Okay. One moment.
14	Are these scans, Mr. Stempler?
15	MR. STEMPLER: Are these scanned what?
16	THE WITNESS: Are these frozen scans that
17	we're looking at?
18	MR. STEMPLER: No, they're not. They're
19	regular consumer disclosures.
20	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. One moment.
21	MR. STEMPLER: In particular, it's dated
22	August 10th, 2005.
23	THE WITNESS: I don't think I've seen
24	that. I don't have it, Camille Amy. Sorry
25	about that.
	1 agc 130

1	MS. GREENSTEIN: I'll answer to anything.
2	I believe there's another document somewhere.
3	What production was that in, Mr. Stempler,
4	from us?
5	MR. STEMPLER: That was in one of the
6	supplemental disclosures that you overnighted
7	to me last week.
8	MS. GREENSTEIN: 0179? All right. I'm
9	going to have to call my assistant. I don't
10	have that right here.
11	MR. STEMPLER: I can do I can go over
12	other things if there's something not in the
13	room with you.
14	MS. GREENSTEIN: Yeah. I don't think I
15	have them. I have the four buckets of stuff
16	here, but I don't see them; so let me e-mail my
17	assistant to bring down everything that she can
18	possibly find.
19	MR. STEMPLER: Shall we go on to other
20	things while your
21	MS. GREENSTEIN: Yeah, please.
22	MR. STEMPLER: assistant is getting
23	that for you?
24	MS. GREENSTEIN: Yeah.
25	MR. STEMPLER: Great. Do you have the Page 131

1 one of the faxes I sent yesterday that is -has a Graves & King cover -- fax cover sheet? 2 3 MS. GREENSTEIN: Yeah. Hang on, please. MR. STEMPLER: And it's 448 in the lower 4 right corner. 5 6 MS. GREENSTEIN: Yes. 7 BY MR. STEMPLER: 8 Q Okay. Please turn to Page 453-01 through 9 03. 10 Α Okay. I have 453-01 and --11 MS. GREENSTEIN: Wait, wait, wait. I'm 12 sorry. 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. This is a complete --14 Α Okay. All right. 15 BY MR. STEMPLER: Got it? 16 0 17 Α Almost. MS. GREENSTEIN: Yeah. We have it. 18 19 THE WITNESS: No, we don't. Wait a 20 Okay. All righty. minute. 21 BY MR. STEMPLER: 22 453? Q 23 All right. Α Yes. 24 Are you familiar, Ms. Fluellen, with 25 subscriber-provided reports from Equifax? Page 132

1	A Not really. I make do.
2	Q Does this appear to be information
3	obtained from Equifax concerning Paul Minnick on
4	August 15th, 2003?
5	MS. GREENSTEIN: Objection to the extent
6	it's outside the 30(b)(6) Notice.
7	You can answer, if you know.
8	A It does seem seem to be a subscriber
9	report. I do see Paul Minnick as the consumer name
10	here.
11	BY MR. STEMPLER:
12	Q So reviewing the three pages that are
13	attached at 453-01, 02 and 03, does that appear to
14	be the information coming from Equifax for that
15	point in time, August 2003?
16	A I guess the
17	You're saying August 2003 or April?
18	Q August 2003.
19	A I can't
20	Q If you look on the last if you look on
21	the last page of 453-03, you're going to see at the
22	very end of the report the a date.
23	A Okay.
24	Q Now, I believe that's the date that it was
25	obtained by this particular car dealership. Page 133

1 Α Okay. I see it now. Yes. I see that 2 date. 3 Can you tell, from looking at the Nelnet 0 accounts on 453-02, if any of those were reported 4 with any late-payment information or charge-off or 5 6 collection information? 7 MS. GREENSTEIN: Objection to the extent it's outside her -- the Notice, the 30(b)(6) 8 9 Notice. From what I could tell, I see a status 10 Α here of Nelnet accounts, four accounts shown, 120 --11 12 the I installment account, 120 days past due. 13 BY MR. STEMPLER: So there are four accounts with Nelnet 14 0 showing an I-5, and that means that those four 15 16 accounts are being reported as having 120-plus days 17 past due? Α 18 Yes. 19 What about the two accounts from Nelnet 0 20 that show I-1 and have a balance of -- perhaps, it's 21 an original-credit-limit balance of 18,500 each? 22 What about those accounts, sir? 23 Are they showing pays as agreed according Q 24 to Equifax's information at the time? 25 Yes. Α Page 134

1	Q Turning towards one second, please.
2	Going to Page 459-01
3	A Okay.
4	Q does that appear to be a report of
5	Equifax provided on January 17th, 2003?
6	MS. GREENSTEIN: Same objection.
7	A Yes. That's what it appears to be.
8	BY MR. STEMPLER:
9	Q And that was provided to a subscriber;
10	correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q On the very first page of 459-01, at the
13	top, what does Beacon 96 (auto finance) mean?
14	MS. GREENSTEIN: Same objection, and also
15	outside possibly outside her scope of
16	knowledge.
17	A That is appears to be the version of
18	Beacon that this particular credit grantor is using.
19	BY MR. STEMPLER:
20	Q Is it provided by Equifax?
21	A Yes. I believe yes.
22	Q And what are those notes below the Beacon
23	96 score, where it says serious delinquency and
24	derogatory public record or collection files, amount
25	owed on delinquent accounts, length of time since Page 135

1	derogatory public record or collection is too short,
2	proportion of balances to credit limits too high on
3	bank
4	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry.
5	MR. STEMPLER: Is that the court reporter?
6	COURT REPORTER: Yes.
7	MR. STEMPLER: I'm sorry.
8	COURT REPORTER: I'm not understanding
9	when you're reading from the documents, it's
10	very difficult.
11	MR. STEMPLER: What did you where did
12	you where did I lose you?
13	COURT REPORTER: Length of time since
14	derogatory.
15	MR. STEMPLER: Proportion of balances to
16	credit limits too high on bank/other revolving
17	accounts.
18	A Those are the reason codes as to what
19	makes up the score.
20	BY MR. STEMPLER:
21	Q What do you mean by "reason codes"?
22	A These are the top four reasons as to why
23	the score is what it is.
24	Q Looking at Page 459-02, is it similar to
25	the previous report that we just saw from August of Page 136

1 '03, that there are four Nelnet accounts reporting 2 with the status of I-5 and two Nelnet accounts 3 reporting with the status of I-1 and --Yeah. Oh, I'm sorry. 4 I'm sorry. And those two I-1 accounts 5 6 have a balance of -- original credit balance of 18,500 apiece? 7 Α Yes. 9 Q Do you have handy Exhibit 460-01? 10 MS. GREENSTEIN: What's the number, 460 --11 MR. STEMPLER: -01. That should be right 12 behind that exhibit we were just discussing. 13 THE WITNESS: It's another fax, I'm sure. 14 Α Okay. Yes. I have it. 15 BY MR. STEMPLER: Does this appear to have originated with 16 Equifax Consumer Services' online access? 17 Α 18 Yes. 19 Is this the information that Equifax had 20 on its credit files for Paul C. Minnick, Jr., as of 21 January 31st, 2005? 22 Α Yes. 23 Turning your attention to 460-03, the four 24 Nelnet Loan Service accounts that appear at the top 25 of that page --Page 137

1	A I'm sorry. Can you repeat the page
2	number?
3	Q 460
4	A Uh-huh.
5	Q03.
6	A 03? Okay.
7	Q Do you see the four Nelnet Loan Service
8	accounts at the top of that page?
9	A Yes, sir, I do.
10	Q Do you see that two of them are reported
11	pays as agreed and two are reported as a charge-off?
12	A Yes.
13	Q What's the name of the company furnishing
14	that information for those four accounts?
15	A Nelnet Loan Services.
16	Q Could you find those accounts on the other
17	pages for this consumer report by Equifax?
18	A They're on Page 6, 7 and 8.
19	Q So it's your understanding that these four
20	Nelnet accounts are being reported under the name
21	UNIPAC?
22	A Yes, using the same information that we
23	looked at earlier today.
24	Q So this first account that appears on
25	460-06, the one that had the original credit limit Page 138

1 of \$8,500, this account is being reported pays as 2 agreed; correct? 3 Α Yes. What does that notation, 81-month payment 4 history, indicate where it says -- where there's not 5 6 Do you see that? a star? 7 Α The 81-month payment history? Down below, on Page 460-06, it says 8 Q Yeah. 9 81-month payment history. 10 Do you see that chart? I do see that. 11 Α Yes. 12 On the year 2004, to the right there are 13 stars from January to August. And then after that there are some -- there's a notation, and then after 14 15 that there's three blanks. What does the notation in that box mean, 16 17 as you understand it? I don't know what CO stands for. 18 Does the fact that there are blanks in the 19 0 20 three boxes after CO indicate anything to you about 2.1 this account? 22 Α No. 23 What do the stars mean on this report? 24 Well, the stars mean that there's no late-25 payment history reporting during that time. Page 139

1 At the bottom of that page is a UNIPAC Q account that continues onto the top of -- the top 2 3 half of Page 460-07. Is it correct that this is being reported 4 5 by the furnisher as a charge-off? 6 To be -- I'm not sure. If you're talking Α 7 about the 81 payment -- 81-month payment-history grid, sometimes the format is a little bit different 8 9 from the EIS report. And I don't know what the CO 10 stands for. 11 I'm looking at the very top right No. 12 corner of 460-07, where the words charge-off appear 13 in a box after current status. 14 Α Then, yes. Current status, that's -the charge-off is the status of the account. 15 And then the account furnished by UNIPAC 16 at the bottom of 470-07 is, pays as agreed is the 17 current status; correct? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 And that's the account that had the high-0 21 credit balance of 6,722; right? 22 Α Yes. Then on Page 460-08, it's reported that 23 24 UNIPAC had a charge-off on the account that had a 25 balance of \$10,000 or -- excuse me -- a high-credit Page 140

```
1
      balance originally of $10,000?
 2
           Α
                Yes.
 3
                MR. STEMPLER: Amy, was your assistant
           able to --
 4
 5
                MS. GREENSTEIN: Yes.
                MR. STEMPLER: -- come back with the
7
           documents?
 8
                MS. GREENSTEIN: Yep.
9
      BY MR. STEMPLER:
10
           0
                Let's go to EIS0179.
11
                Okay. I have it.
           Α
12
                Okay. Does this appear to be a report
           Q
13
      prepared by Equifax dated August 10, 2005, regarding
      Paul Minnick, Jr.?
14
15
           Α
                Yes.
                Do you know if this was mailed to
16
      Mr. Minnick?
17
18
           Α
                I'm not sure.
19
                Do you know what prompted the preparation
           0
20
      of this consumer report on August 10th, 2005?
21
                No. No, I don't.
           Α
22
                Turning to EIS0184 --
           Q
23
                I'm sorry. I apologize.
           Α
24
                Can you say that again, please?
25
                EIS0184.
           Q
                                                           Page 141
```

1	А	0184?
2	Q	Right.
3	А	Okay.
4	Q	Are any of the Nelnet accounts that appear
5	on that p	page showing anything other than pays as
6	agreed or	a late payment or a derogatory indication
7	of any ki	nd?
8	А	No.
9	Q	Going to the next set of documents, which
10	are froze	en scans
11		Are these called frozen scans, by the way?
12	A	Yes, sir.
13	Q	here at EIS0193 through EIS0233?
14	A	Okay. I have them.
15	Q	What are these documents called in Equifax
16	vernacula	ar?
17	A	It's frozen database scans.
18	Q	Explain what it is, please.
19	A	Each month the system takes a snapshot of
20	itself fo	or disaster recovery purposes, and from that
21	we can re	equest from the business unit a copy of how
22	a particu	alar consumer's credit file looked for a
23	particula	ar month. And when that's printed out,
24	that's wh	nen it's called a frozen scan.
25	Q	This page that we're that's on top is Page 142

1	EIS0193. It says, file activity 10/23/04.
2	Is that the date of this snapshot of
3	Mr. Minnick's data file?
4	A I'm going to be honest. I've never
5	actually seen that date. It possibly could be.
6	I've never seen an actually date on those. I'll
7	have to verify that. That's something new.
8	Q Is there any other information that you
9	can get from looking at this first frozen scan on
10	the date on which the snapshot was taken?
11	A No. Normally, what I've been provided is
12	that the snapshot is normally taken at the end of
13	the month; but it's never a specific date set in
14	stone. Again, this is the I've been looking at
15	frozen scans for quite a period of time now, and
16	it's the first time I've seen an activity date on
17	them.
18	Q Well, this is attached to several this
19	page is attached to several other pages by staple on
20	my copy. Towards the back of the stapled set is
21	EIS0198 and EIS0199, which has different activity
22	dates from the one appearing on the first page.
23	A (Witness reviews documents).
24	I believe these are
25	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Please note that Page 143

1 your conference will expire in ten minutes. MR. STEMPLER: Oh, great. I guess that 2 3 means that in a few minutes we'll be dialing the other number. 4 I think these are daily scans requested 5 6 for a particular date as opposed to monthly scans. 7 BY MR. STEMPLER: So there's a difference from -- from Oh. 9 the frozen scans you've seen previously? It's the same information. You're still 10 Α looking at a snapshot of a consumer's credit file. 11 12 They serve the same purpose, but I was just confused 13 by the date. Is there any indication, from looking at 14 this page, of when the snapshot is or do you have to 15 do some further research on that file activity date? 16 I'd better say that I need to do some more 17 research, but I believe it was August 23rd or --18 excuse me -- October 23rd, 2004. 19 20 What does -- let's review the information 0 2.1 on this just so I understand the format of a frozen 22 scan. 23 Α Okay. 24 I see that the first information is the 25 name of the consumer. Page 144

1	Does Equifax prepare a frozen scan for
2	every consumer in its database?
3	A No. Again, it's you can request a copy
4	of a credit file for a consumer, but it's not
5	prepared on a daily basis. I mean, these don't
6	print out just because. You have to make a request
7	for how a consumer's credit file looked for a period
8	of time.
9	Q But something you said earlier was that
10	Equifax prepares these on a usually a monthly
11	basis, in case of some kind of a catastrophe, they
12	could they could use it to recover?
13	A Correct.
14	Q So does Equifax prepare one at that time,
15	whatever date it decides to do it for that month,
16	for every consumer within its database?
17	A Well, yeah. I mean, it's every
18	consumer makes up the database, but the intent is to
19	make take a snapshot of the database itself.
20	Q After the information concerning the name
21	of the consumer and their address is reported a
22	telephone number. So you have date of birth and
23	Social Security number.
24	And then we have the employment
25	information; is that right?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And then they list the inquiries?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Where do the I see some of these are
5	inquiries that only the Plaintiff or excuse me
6	only the consumer would see on their credit report
7	versus the one that the subscriber would receive if
8	it were requested.
9	Where did the other where do the other
10	inquiries, the ones that appear on a credit report
11	for two years, get viewed, get appear on this
12	thing?
13	A They're there also.
14	Q Is there any delineation from the other
15	inquiries?
16	A Not standardized; but, I mean, if you
17	want, for example and there's so many here.
18	Q Are they just interspersed and it's,
19	like
20	A Well, they're listed according
21	Q in chronological order?
22	A They're listed according to date,
23	numerical order. I mean, you see that at the very,
24	very bottom, the very, very last one is the Comcast
25	inquiry. Page 146
	rage 140

1	Q What's that?	
2	A What do you mean, what is that?	
3	Q You said Comcast inquiry?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q What is that or do you is that	
6	Is that the company that requested this	
7	report?	
8	A Correct.	
9	Q And then the next section says payment	
10	practice.	
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Please note that	
12	your conference will expire in five minutes.	
13	BY MR. STEMPLER:	
14	Q Below that it says payment practice.	
15	Do you see that?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Then there are the I see three Nelnet	
18	Loan Service accounts. Is that how you see it? And	d
19	then there's a fourth one on Page EIS0195.	
20	A Yes. You saw the one on the first page?	
21	Q Yeah. There's one at the very bottom and	
22	continuing onto the top of 194, two right below tha	t
23	on 194 and a third	
24	A Yes, and then there's a fourth one on 195	
25	Q Oh, okay. There it is, 195.	Page 147

1 Can you tell me, is there a -- I have a package of --2 3 The phone got cut off. I didn't hear your Α last word. 4 I didn't even finish. 5 Oh. Α 7 I have a package of seven of these frozen 8 scans. 9 Is that what you also show for this 10 production of documents? 11 MS. GREENSTEIN: Mr. Stempler, this is 12 I don't have them arranged in terms of 13 production of documents. I just have the Bates-labeled ones you identified you were 14 going to refer to; so she can't -- she doesn't 15 have any idea about that. 16 17 MR. STEMPLER: Oh. Are yours stapled? MS. GREENSTEIN: Yeah. They're stapled, 18 19 but I don't have them stapled to the 20 document -- or to the RPD response, per se. 2.1 MR. STEMPLER: Oh. 22 MS. GREENSTEIN: I have all documents 23 produced in one bucket. 24 MR. STEMPLER: Got it. 25 BY MR. STEMPLER: Page 148

1	Q How many frozen scans well, I have
2	These frozen scans, they all come directly
3	from what what department within Equifax?
4	A I see six oh, excuse me, sorry
5	seven okay eight, nine, ten and eleven.
6	Q You've counted 11 what?
7	A Different scans.
8	MR. STEMPLER: Maybe I didn't get all of
9	them.
10	MS. GREENSTEIN: Yeah, Robert, you did.
11	They're Bates labeled 67 through 81 and then
12	the ones 193 through whatever you asked for,
13	233.
14	MR. STEMPLER: Oh. You know what, I
15	wasn't even looking at those ones that are
16	early on.
17	When we get disconnected, we'll just dial
18	back that other number. Okay?
19	THE WITNESS: That's fine. Can we take a
20	break?
21	MR. STEMPLER: Yeah. Let's take a break.
22	Maybe we'll reconvene at, you know, maybe a
23	couple of minutes before the hour. Okay?
24	MS. GREENSTEIN: Okay.
25	MR. STEMPLER: Thanks.  Page 149
	Tuge 119

1	(Whereupon, there was a recess
2	in the deposition.)
3	BY MR. STEMPLER:
4	Q I would like to so we could continue
5	the review of these frozen scans, I see that I had
6	overlooked EIS006 excuse me 0067 through
7	EIS0085 when I was looking at the frozen scans
8	because there was that Indicating Manual in between.
9	So you have a total of 11 frozen scans for
10	Mr. Minnick?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And these are documents that were prepared
13	by Equifax from Equifax's credit file credit file
14	for Mr. Minnick; correct?
15	A From the database, yes.
16	Q Okay. And the documents that appear from
17	EIS000 0067 through EIS0085 are genuine Equifax
18	documents?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And the frozen scans that appear from
21	EIS0193 through EIS0233 are genuine Equifax
22	documents?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	Q What is the Indicating Manual that has
25	been produced in a redacted form at EIS0086 through $$\operatorname{\textit{Page}}\xspace{150}$$

1	EIS0178?
2	A It's a manual that is for the training
3	manual that's provided to anyone that will process a
4	dispute, whether written or via phone, from a
5	consumer.
6	Q On what page would it explain how to
7	handle a situation where the consumer is providing
8	Equifax a copy of a furnisher letter saying that the
9	account is in good standing or not delinquent but
10	the furnisher is verifying a delinquency or
11	non-good-standing?
12	A Okay. I'm disappointed because I thought
13	we were going to talk about these scans, and now
14	you've got me getting another document. One moment.
15	Well, I had gotten these scans in order.
16	On Page 13 it's talking about how to
17	update items, updating an item and sending it to
18	maintenance. Now, within our policies, there are
19	things that we lecture to the to the agents; and
20	that is where they gain the knowledge of what to do
21	with a document that a consumer provides to us.
22	Q When you said "Page 13", you're referring
23	to EIS0112?
24	A Yes.
25	Q That information continues on EIS0113 at Page 151

1	the top; correct?
2	A Yes. It's actual whole module two
3	explains that.
4	Q Module two?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Does the Indicating Manual have any
7	reference in it to the situation of receiving
8	information on a carbon copy from one of the other
9	credit-reporting agencies?
10	A No, sir. That's an automated process.
11	Q And when what
12	What employees of Equifax are trained
13	using this Indicating Manual?
14	A Again, anyone that will take a dispute via
15	mail or phone from a consumer.
16	Q Does Equifax also insure that the
17	employees of the contracting companies that also
18	handle consumer disputes are trained using this
19	Indicating Manual?
20	A Yes, anybody that was going to process a
21	dispute via mail or phone from a consumer, anybody.
22	Q Is it correct that this is the same manual
23	that was provided for training purposes to the
24	individuals who handled the reinvestigations
25	concerning Paul Minnick's disputes?  Page 152

1	A Yes.
2	Q Do you have a fax that I sent to your
3	attorney yesterday which has these long spread-
4	sheets?
5	MS. GREENSTEIN: Yes. Is this 520, 519,
6	521, those?
7	MR. STEMPLER: Yes, 519 particularly since
8	that refers to Equifax.
9	MS. GREENSTEIN: All right. Let me get it
10	for her. She has it.
11	BY MR. STEMPLER:
12	Q Do you see that first column on Page
13	519-01 for the spreadsheet that is entitled, Equifax
14	Consumer Disclosures About Paul C. Minnick?
15	MS. GREENSTEIN: I'm going to object to
16	the extent that this is not a document prepared
17	by Equifax. To the extent that she can respond
18	to any part of this document, she can, but
19	A I see the document, yes.
20	BY MR. STEMPLER:
21	Q Do you recall looking at a credit report
22	from Hemborg Ford, one of the subscribers of
23	Equifax, that was dated August 15th, 2003?
24	A Briefly. Actually, we've been looking at
25	credit reports all day; so that one is coming to my Page 153

1 mind a little bit. Okay. To refresh your recollection, 2 Q please take a look at the documents produced as 3 Exhibit 453-01 through 453-03. 4 5 Okay. Do you have in front of you Page 453-02, 7 which contains the Nelnet accounts reported by Equifax at that time to the subscriber? 8 9 Are you asking me if I have 453-02 in front of me? 10 11 0 Yes, I am. 12 Yes, I do. 13 Do you see how the spreadsheet, on the column that is entitled 8/15/2003, contains those 14 15 six accounts that are reported on that page of that document? 16 MS. GREENSTEIN: Mr. Stempler, I'm going 17 to object to this line of questioning. 18 These 19 documents were prepared by Mr. Minnick, and I'm 20 not really sure how my witness can testify to a 21 document prepared by your client. 22 MR. STEMPLER: Okay. BY MR. STEMPLER: 23 24 Do you need the question read back? 25 I don't see where you're talking about. Page 154

```
1
                Okay.
                       Do you see the first Nelnet account
           Q
 2
      on 453-02 which has a original balance of 6,722?
 3
                MS. GREENSTEIN: And I'm going to have the
           same objections to all your line of questioning
 4
           regarding these documents. So if I could have
 5
 6
           a standing objection, it would probably save us
7
           a bunch of time.
                MR. STEMPLER: Fine.
 8
9
           Α
                No, I don't.
      BY MR. STEMPLER:
10
11
                Okay. Look at --
           0
12
           Α
                Which cell are you looking at?
13
           Q
                I'm sorry?
                Which cell are you looking at?
14
15
                I'm looking at Exhibit 453-02, the first
           0
      account reported by Nelnet on that report.
16
                     I thought you were looking at the
17
           Α
                Oh.
      grid.
18
19
                       I see the first account, yes.
                Yes.
20
                       And that original balance was
           0
                Okay.
21
      6,722; correct?
22
           Α
                Yes.
23
                MS. GREENSTEIN: Objection, asked and
24
           answered.
25
      BY MR. STEMPLER:
                                                           Page 155
```

1	Q And it says, in the comments section below
2	the account number, student loan assigned to
3	government; correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And you see now that account with the end
6	designation 04; correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Do you see account 04 with that same
9	balance and comment in that grid under the column
10	8/15/2003 on Exhibit 519-01?
11	A Okay. Yes.
12	Q The next account on Page 453-02 has a
13	balance of original credit balance of 11,728 and
14	an account number at the end that says 03 and a
15	comment that says student loan assigned to
16	government.
17	Do you see account 03 with the same
18	balance and similar account comment in that column
19	with 8/15 for 8/15/2003 on Page 519-01?
20	MS. GREENSTEIN: Mr. Minnick, I'm going to
21	object to this line of questioning.
22	Are you trying to get my client to
23	authenticate a document created by your client?
24	MR. STEMPLER: No. Only Mr. Minnick can
25	do that.

1	MS. GREENSTEIN: And I'm confused. I
2	mean, I'm going to object to this line of
3	testimony as outside the 30(b)(6) Notice.
4	She's these are not Equifax documents.
5	MR. STEMPLER: You're not instructing the
6	witness to not answer, are you?
7	MS. GREENSTEIN: No. But we've been here
8	a really long time, and we've been going
9	through a lot of documents. And, you know, it
10	would be nice if there was some indication as
11	to what the point of her reading or agreeing
12	with you about what is printed on Mr. Minnick's
13	created documents.
14	MR. STEMPLER: Well, I'm trying to make
15	sure that Equifax agrees that the information
16	is fairly summarized on this spreadsheet.
17	MS. GREENSTEIN: She cannot my witness
18	cannot, and Equifax cannot, authenticate his
19	documents; so whether he summarized it
20	accurately or not is really a question for
21	Mr. Minnick.
22	MR. STEMPLER: Are you telling the witness
23	not to answer?
24	MS. GREENSTEIN: No, I'm not.
25	But are you going to go through every Page 157

1 single cell on these charts? 2 MR. STEMPLER: Unless, of course, you want 3 to do it in a more summary fashion. I actually 4 can get through this a little faster once 5 we've done it a couple of times, you'll see 6 it's pretty self-explanatory; and we can get 7 through this in about 10/15 minutes. 8 MS. GREENSTEIN: To the extent that my 9 witness understands the documents created by 10 your client and to the extent that she wishes 11 to respond, she can answer your questions, if 12 she has any idea. 13 THE WITNESS: What was the question? Was 14 there a question? Is there a question on the 15 table? 16 BY MR. STEMPLER: 17 Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not? 18 A I was asking if there's a question on the 19 table. 20 Q The question is: For account 03 in the 21 column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page 22 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on 23 Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report? 24 A I see the it says dollar amount, 25 11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making		
to do it in a more summary fashion. I actually can get through this a little faster once we've done it a couple of times, you'll see it's pretty self-explanatory; and we can get through this in about 10/15 minutes.  MS. GREENSTEIN: To the extent that my witness understands the documents created by your client and to the extent that she wishes to respond, she can answer your questions, if she has any idea.  THE WITNESS: What was the question? Was there a question? Is there a question on the table?  MS. Fluellen, shall we continue or not? A I was asking if there's a question on the table.  Q The question is: For account 03 in the column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  A I see the it says dollar amount,  11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	1	single cell on these charts?
4 can get through this a little faster once 5 we've done it a couple of times, you'll see 6 it's pretty self-explanatory; and we can get 7 through this in about 10/15 minutes. 8 MS. GREENSTEIN: To the extent that my 9 witness understands the documents created by 10 your client and to the extent that she wishes 11 to respond, she can answer your questions, if 12 she has any idea. 13 THE WITNESS: What was the question? Was 14 there a question? Is there a question on the 15 table? 16 BY MR. STEMPLER: 17 Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not? 18 A I was asking if there's a question on the 19 table. 20 Q The question is: For account 03 in the 21 column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page 22 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on 23 Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report? 24 A I see the it says dollar amount, 25 11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	2	MR. STEMPLER: Unless, of course, you want
we've done it a couple of times, you'll see  it's pretty self-explanatory; and we can get  through this in about 10/15 minutes.  MS. GREENSTEIN: To the extent that my  witness understands the documents created by  your client and to the extent that she wishes  to respond, she can answer your questions, if  she has any idea.  THE WITNESS: What was the question? Was  there a question? Is there a question on the  table?  BY MR. STEMPLER:  Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not?  A I was asking if there's a question on the  table.  Q The question is: For account 03 in the  column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page  519-01, do you see the corresponding information on  Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  A I see the it says dollar amount,  11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	3	to do it in a more summary fashion. I actually
it's pretty self-explanatory; and we can get through this in about 10/15 minutes.  MS. GREENSTEIN: To the extent that my witness understands the documents created by your client and to the extent that she wishes to respond, she can answer your questions, if she has any idea.  THE WITNESS: What was the question? Was there a question? Is there a question on the table?  MS. Fluellen, shall we continue or not?  A I was asking if there's a question on the table.  Q The question is: For account 03 in the column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  A I see the it says dollar amount,  11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	4	can get through this a little faster once
through this in about 10/15 minutes.  MS. GREENSTEIN: To the extent that my witness understands the documents created by your client and to the extent that she wishes to respond, she can answer your questions, if she has any idea.  THE WITNESS: What was the question? Was there a question? Is there a question on the table?  BY MR. STEMPLER:  Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not?  A I was asking if there's a question on the table.  Q The question is: For account 03 in the column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  A I see the it says dollar amount,  11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	5	we've done it a couple of times, you'll see
MS. GREENSTEIN: To the extent that my  witness understands the documents created by  your client and to the extent that she wishes  to respond, she can answer your questions, if  she has any idea.  THE WITNESS: What was the question? Was  there a question? Is there a question on the  table?  BY MR. STEMPLER:  Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not?  A I was asking if there's a question on the  table.  Q The question is: For account 03 in the  column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page  519-01, do you see the corresponding information on  Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  A I see the it says dollar amount,  11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	6	it's pretty self-explanatory; and we can get
your client and to the extent that she wishes to respond, she can answer your questions, if she has any idea.  THE WITNESS: What was the question? Was there a question? Is there a question on the table?  BY MR. STEMPLER: Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not?  A I was asking if there's a question on the table.  Q The question is: For account 03 in the column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  A I see the it says dollar amount,	7	through this in about 10/15 minutes.
your client and to the extent that she wishes  to respond, she can answer your questions, if  she has any idea.  THE WITNESS: What was the question? Was  there a question? Is there a question on the  table?  BY MR. STEMPLER:  Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not?  A I was asking if there's a question on the  table.  Q The question is: For account 03 in the  column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page  519-01, do you see the corresponding information on  Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  A I see the it says dollar amount,  11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	8	MS. GREENSTEIN: To the extent that my
to respond, she can answer your questions, if  she has any idea.  THE WITNESS: What was the question? Was  there a question? Is there a question on the  table?  BY MR. STEMPLER:  Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not?  A I was asking if there's a question on the  table.  Q The question is: For account 03 in the  column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page  519-01, do you see the corresponding information on  Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  A I see the it says dollar amount,  11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	9	witness understands the documents created by
she has any idea.  THE WITNESS: What was the question? Was there a question? Is there a question on the table?  BY MR. STEMPLER:  Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not?  A I was asking if there's a question on the table.  Q The question is: For account 03 in the column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  A I see the it says dollar amount,  11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	10	your client and to the extent that she wishes
THE WITNESS: What was the question? Was there a question? Is there a question on the table?  BY MR. STEMPLER:  Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not?  A I was asking if there's a question on the table.  Q The question is: For account 03 in the column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  A I see the it says dollar amount,  11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	11	to respond, she can answer your questions, if
there a question? Is there a question on the table?  16 BY MR. STEMPLER:  17 Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not?  18 A I was asking if there's a question on the 19 table.  20 Q The question is: For account 03 in the 21 column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page 22 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on 23 Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report? 24 A I see the it says dollar amount, 25 11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	12	she has any idea.
table?  16 BY MR. STEMPLER:  17 Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not?  18 A I was asking if there's a question on the  19 table.  20 Q The question is: For account 03 in the  21 column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page  22 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on  23 Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  24 A I see the it says dollar amount,  25 11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	13	THE WITNESS: What was the question? Was
16 BY MR. STEMPLER:  17 Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not?  18 A I was asking if there's a question on the  19 table.  20 Q The question is: For account 03 in the  21 column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page  22 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on  23 Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  24 A I see the it says dollar amount,  25 11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	14	there a question? Is there a question on the
17 Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not?  18 A I was asking if there's a question on the  19 table.  20 Q The question is: For account 03 in the  21 column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page  22 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on  23 Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  24 A I see the it says dollar amount,  25 11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	15	table?
18 A I was asking if there's a question on the 19 table. 20 Q The question is: For account 03 in the 21 column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page 22 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on 23 Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report? 24 A I see the it says dollar amount, 25 11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	16	BY MR. STEMPLER:
19 table.  20 Q The question is: For account 03 in the  21 column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page  22 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on  23 Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  24 A I see the it says dollar amount,  25 11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	17	Q Ms. Fluellen, shall we continue or not?
Q The question is: For account 03 in the column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  A I see the it says dollar amount, 11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	18	A I was asking if there's a question on the
column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page  519-01, do you see the corresponding information on  Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  A I see the it says dollar amount,  11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	19	table.
22 519-01, do you see the corresponding information on 23 Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report? 24 A I see the it says dollar amount, 25 11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	20	Q The question is: For account 03 in the
23 Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?  24 A I see the it says dollar amount,  25 11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	21	column 8/15/2003 on the spreadsheet which is Page
24 A I see the it says dollar amount, 25 11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	22	519-01, do you see the corresponding information on
25 11,728. I'm not quite sure if that's making	23	Exhibit 453-02 from that subscriber credit report?
1	24	A I see the it says dollar amount,
	25	

1 reference to the balance or the loan amount. And I 2 do see the student loan assigned to government. 3 Okay. With respect to account 02, do you 0 see the original balance of 8,500 and student loan 4 assigned to government, on 453-02? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 And do you see for account 01, on this 8 August 15th, 2003 column of this spreadsheet, 9 correspond to 453-02, the fourth Nelnet account listed there? 10 11 I do see it on 453-02. I see that Α 12 account, yes. 13 And do you see the two accounts at the 14 bottom of the spreadsheet on that column for 8/15/2003 with the original balances each of \$18,500 15 and the comment, account transferred or sold, both 16 appearing on Page 453-02 of that subscriber credit 17 18 report? 19 Α Yes. 20 If you'd turn to page -- Exhibit 459-02 0 21 and just verify that is part of 459-01 and 459-03. 22 Yeah. I think this is the same one we Α 23 looked at before. 24 You want me to verify what, now? 25 Q Just that the numbers -- the account Page 159

1	information for the six Nelnet accounts that appear
2	on 459-02 are correlated, to the extent the
3	information is produced, on the column 1/17/2003 on
4	the spreadsheet which is 519-01.
5	A I mean, again, he on the 459-01, it's
6	saying dollar amount. I'm not quite sure if they're
7	meaning balance or high credit. But the numbers are
8	the same, and the comments are the same.
9	Q Okay. Pulling out the Equifax credit
10	report dated April 18th, 2004, which is at Exhibit
11	337, particularly looking at 337-04.
12	A Okay. You one moment, please.
13	THE WITNESS: I need to take a break. I
14	have a phone call.
15	MS. GREENSTEIN: Off the record.
16	MR. STEMPLER: Okay. Yep.
17	(Thereupon, a discussion was
18	held off the record.)
19	MR. STEMPLER: I was opening the floor to
20	Paul Donsbach, if he wanted to ask some
21	questions of the witness or any questions of
22	the witness.
23	MS. GREENSTEIN: Paul, are you there?
24	MR. STEMPLER: Hello?
25	MR. DONSBACH: Yes. Page 160
	1 450 100

1	MR. STEMPLER: Did you have questions for
2	Equifax's witness?
3	MR. DONSBACH: No, I don't.
4	MR. STEMPLER: Ms. Heikaus Weaver, did you
5	have some questions for Equifax?
6	MS. WEAVER: I just have maybe one or two
7	just to clarify some things, if that's okay.
8	MR. STEMPLER: Please.
9	MS. WEAVER: Ms. Fluellen, my name is
10	Kirsten Heikaus Weaver, and I represent
11	TransUnion. I just have a couple of questions
12	just to clarify some things earlier that you
13	were that you were talking about with
14	Mr. Stempler.
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MS. WEAVER:
17	Q Do you recall talking about the carbon
18	copies?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Mr. Stempler was referring to them as
21	originating from TransUnion or Experian.
22	Do you recall that?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Would you can you tell me if the
25	actual information that's reported on the carbon Page 161

1	copies, do you know who is the source of that
2	information?
3	A The actual person from TransUnion or
4	Experian?
5	Q Well, is it your understanding that
6	TransUnion or Experian is the conduit of information
7	from another source or is
8	A Oh. Yes. Well, the actual source of the
9	carbon copies would come from the data furnishers.
10	MS. WEAVER: Okay. That's all I have,
11	then. Thank you very much.
12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13	MR. STEMPLER: This is Robert Stempler.
14	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. STEMPLER:
16	Q You're saying, Ms. Fluellen, that the
17	source of the information from those carbon copies
18	is something other than TransUnion or Experian?
19	A No. What I said a few minutes ago and I
20	said earlier is that the credit grantor is the
21	source of the information being provided through
22	TransUnion and Experian.
23	Q How do you know that?
24	A Well, I mean, just as though when we
25	send a carbon copy out, we're getting that Page 162

information from the data furnisher. It's not 1 2 something that we are making up. 3 Well, what makes you believe that when --0 when Experian provides you information about a 4 Nelnet account or TransUnion provides you informa-5 6 tion about a Nelnet account, that the source of their information was Nelnet? 7 8 Well, sir, that's typically how it works. 9 You're absolutely right. You know, I'm not there 10 when TransUnion and Experian is giving me the information, but that's typically how it works. 11 12 How often have you seen carbon copies in a 13 case in which you've given testimony? To be quite honest, this is the first 14 Α 15 time. Turning to Page 337-04, which is an 16 Equifax credit report for Mr. Minnick --17 One moment. I never did find that. 18 Α 19 Okay. 0 20 I'm going to object to MS. GREENSTEIN: 2.1 the extent you're considering it an Equifax 22 credit report, and it misconstrues her prior 23 testimony that it was from Equifax Consumer 24 Services. 25 Okay. I have it. Page 163

1 BY MR. STEMPLER: 2 Page 337-04, which has --0 3 Do you see the four Nelnet LNS accounts on 4 that page? 5 Α Yes. 6 Well, you know what, let's look at a 7 different page. Please pull out pages 06 through 08. 8 9 At the bottom of 06 and the top of 07 is a 10 Nelnet account that had the high credit of 11,728. 11 MS. GREENSTEIN: You're fading out again. 12 MR. STEMPLER: Fading out? 13 MS. GREENSTEIN: Yeah. It sounds like you're getting further -- closer and further 14 away from the phone. We're having a difficult 15 time hearing you. 16 MR. STEMPLER: I wonder if my battery is 17 going dead. 18 19 MS. GREENSTEIN: No. That's better. You 20 just -- I think you're just stepping away from 2.1 the microphone somewhat. 22 MR. STEMPLER: Okay. Is this any better? 23 MS. GREENSTEIN: Yes. 24 MR. STEMPLER: Thank you. 25 BY MR. STEMPLER: Page 164

1 The bottom of 337-06 and the top of 337-07Q 2 has a Nelnet account that has a high-credit balance 3 of 11,728. Do you see that account summarized as 4 account 03 on the spreadsheet under the column 5 6 4/18/2004? 7 MS. GREENSTEIN: Same objections as before. 9 Yes, with the same concern that it says 10 amount. And I'm not quite sure what the amount is on the summary on 549-01, but the other information 11 12 I do see. 13 BY MR. STEMPLER: 14 0 And the next Nelnet account that appears in the middle of Page 337-07, having an original 15 high-credit balance of \$10,000, or I just -- I 16 should say a high-credit balance of \$10,000, do you 17 see that represented on the spreadsheet as account 18 19 01? 20 I see an amount for \$10,000, not quite 2.1 sure what the amount means. 22 But other than the fact that you don't 0 know what amount means? 23 24 I'm sorry? 25 Other than the fact that you don't know Page 165

1	what amount means, do you see that account
2	represented on the spreadsheet?
3	A I see the \$10,000, the status and the
4	comments.
5	Q The next account on 337-07 at the bottom
6	and printed partly at the top of 337-08, having a
7	high-credit balance a high credit of 6,722, do
8	you see that on the schedule on the spreadsheet
9	as account No. 04?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And then the Nelnet account that's in the
12	middle of 337-08 that had a high-credit balance of
13	\$8,500, do you see that represented as account 02 on
14	the spreadsheet?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Please turn to Page 341-06.
17	A Okay.
18	Q And do you see those
19	Do you see the first Nelnet account on the
20	page that has a high-credit balance of 11,728?
21	Do you see that represented on the
22	spreadsheet page No. 519-01 under column 5/3/2004,
23	account No. 03?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And do you see how the current status is Page 166

also represented on the spreadsheet over 120 days
past due?
A Yes.
Q The next Nelnet account on 341-06 that had
a high balance of \$10,000 high credit of \$10,000,
do you see that as account 01 on the spreadsheet?
A Yes.
Q And the same as far as the next account
from that that Equifax credit report that had a
6,722-dollar balance, high credit.
Do you see that represented as account 04
on the spreadsheet?
A Yes.
Q And the next one that had a high credit of
\$8,500, do you see that represented on the
spreadsheet for May 3rd, 2004, as account 02?
A Yes.
Q Please pull out Page 342-02 I'm sorry,
I misspoke 342-07 and 8.
THE WITNESS: Can't we just stipulate that
he put this stuff on here?
MS. GREENSTEIN: Mr. Minnick
Mr. Stempler, how many more of these are you
doing? I mean, it's getting late in the day,
and she's been testifying for hours. And,

1	again, this is Mr. Minnick's graph.	
2	MR. STEMPLER: Right. I'm not asking her	
3 to authenticate the		
4	MS. GREENSTEIN: Then, what are you having	
5	her do?	
6	MR. STEMPLER: Simply to indicate that the	
7	information was transferred from the credit	
8	reports, which she's authenticated, to the	
9	spreadsheet in a summary fashion.	
10	MS. GREENSTEIN: There's no what is the	
11	point of that? She it doesn't matter	
12	whether I mean, she's not authenticating the	
13	document that your client created. I could do	
14	that. You could do that. I mean, this has	
15	been a long deposition.	
16	MR. STEMPLER: I can't do that, and you	
17	can't do that.	
18	MS. GREENSTEIN: Well, neither can she	
19	because it's not his I mean, she didn't	
20	create this document, either. My point is, is	
21	that I'm in the same position that she is to go	
22	over this testimony. And it's getting you	
23	know, it's been a long day.	
24	If you have questions regarding Equifax	
25	documents or procedures as noticed in the Page 168	
	1 490 100	

1	30(b)(6), those would probably be more relevant
2	questions that would be applicable to this
3	case.
4	MR. STEMPLER: Well, I'll go over those
5	questions, as well. But to finish up with
6	those questions, then, if you since you
7	don't want you know, I understand what
8	you're saying.
9	BY MR. STEMPLER:
10	Q Just to close a couple of loops that I
11	have, did you ever speak to Paul Minnick regarding
12	his credit reports?
13	A I'm sorry. Did you say Paul?
14	No. I haven't spoken to Mr. Minnick.
15	Q I'm just going through, really quickly,
16	the subject matters to make sure that I covered it.
17	One second, please.
18	Do you know if Equifax is able to compute
19	the effect
20	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can you
21	restate that? It's the court reporter. I
22	didn't understand it.
23	BY MR. STEMPLER:
24	Q I asked: Do you know if Equifax is able
25	to compute the effect of any adverse information on Page 169

1	any credit score
2	MR. DONSBACH: Objection, calls for expert
3	testimony, no foundation, calls for
4	speculation.
5	MS. GREENSTEIN: And, also, objection,
6	outside the scope of the 30(b)(6).
7	A No.
8	BY MR. STEMPLER:
9	Q Do you know the companies to which Equifax
10	provided adverse-action codes about any of the
11	credit reports provided to a subscriber concerning
12	Paul Minnick at any time since January 1st, 2001?
13	MS. GREENSTEIN: Same objection.
14	A No, sir. I don't have that, and I don't
15	know.
16	BY MR. STEMPLER:
17	Q Does Equifax keep track of the subscriber
18	reports obtained about a consumer?
19	A No.
20	Q Other than, of course, noting that a
21	report was provided to a particular subscriber and
22	their address and sometimes their phone number;
23	right?
24	A That's correct.
25	Q From what we've reviewed today, can you Page 170

1	please summarize your actions, you being Equifax,
2	actions to correct, update or delete any of the
3	Nelnet accounts that have been the subject of most
4	of the examination that we've discussed today?
5	MS. GREENSTEIN: Objection, asked and
6	answered. You've got she told you for hours
7	what went on.
8	A I mean, my summary is that the consumer
9	initiated some reinvestigations. And I believe in
10	an instance or two I can't recall now that we
11	did verify the account with the source, and then
12	subsequently we used documentation provided by the
13	consumer to update the account. And then
14	I mean, I do echo what my attorney said.
15	We've been talking quite a bit about what's happened
16	specifically.
17	BY MR. STEMPLER:
18	Q Do you know if Nelnet is a subscriber of
19	credit reports from Equifax?
20	A If Nelnet is a subscriber?
21	Q Yeah.
22	MR. DONSBACH: Objection, no foundation,
23	calls for speculation.
24	A I don't recall seeing them listed as a
25	person that requested account information. I don't

1 know if they're a subscriber or a data furnisher 2 only. No. I don't know the relationship. I just didn't -- I don't know. 3 4 BY MR. STEMPLER: 5 Nelnet could be a furnisher of information 0 6 without being a subscriber; is that correct? 7 Α Yes. MR. DONSBACH: Objection, no foundation, 8 9 calls for speculation. BY MR. STEMPLER: 10 11 Did you say yes? 0 12 It's possible that a credit grantor can be a subscriber only or a data furnisher only 13 or -- and it can be both. 14 15 Is there a separate contract that Equifax has with companies that are only data furnishers and 16 not subscribers? 17 Not to my knowledge. I guess we'd have to 18 Α 19 look at the contract specifically, and I don't know. 20 Do you know if Equifax received any 0 2.1 universal data forms or automated universal data forms from Nelnet concerning any of these four 22 23 accounts concerning Mr. Minnick? 24 No, not to my knowledge, nothing other 25 than the carbon copies.

Page 172

1	Q So there's no record at Equifax of receipt
2	of a UDF from Nelnet concerning any of these
3	accounts?
4	A No, not to my knowledge, no.
5	MR. STEMPLER: Well, I think that
6	concludes my examination. If the other
7	attorneys have some questions to ask, I
8	relinquish the floor now.
9	MR. DONSBACH: No questions.
10	MS. WEAVER: No questions. Thank you.
11	MS. GREENSTEIN: Okay. So, then, we'll be
12	off the record.
13	(Deposition concluded at approximately 5:00 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Page 173
	Tage 173

I,	Alicia Fluellen, the	witness herein,
have read the	e transcript of my tea	stimony and the
same is true	and correct to the be	est of my
knowledge.		
There	are no corrections.	
Any co	rrections/additions an	re listed below.
PAGE/LINE	SHOULD READ	REASON
	ALICIA	FLUELLEN
Sworn to and	subscribed before me	
this	_ day of 2009	5.
Notary Public	-	

1	CERTIFICATE
	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF GEORGIA:
4	NEWTON COUNTY:
5	I hereby certify that the foregoing
6	transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption,
7	and the questions and answers thereto were reduced
8	to printing under my direction; that the foregoing
9	pages 1 through 173 represent a true and correct
10	transcript, to the best of my ability, of the
11	evidence given upon said hearing, and I further
12	certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the
13	parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of
14	counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise
15	interested in the result of said case.
16	Pursuant to Article 8.B. of the Rules and
17	Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting of the
18	Judicial Council of Georgia and OCGA 15-14-37 (a)
19	and (b), written disclosure is attached herein.
20	This, the 12th day of September, 2005.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	MARY K. CALDWELL, CSR, B-1325 Page 175